

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Pathogen Research</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJPR_100499</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>A brief overview on plague: Symptoms and transmission</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpr.com/index.php/IJPR/editorial-policy> )

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>The article is interesting. Definitely deserves a post. It presents new results for the region. They are important in a comparative aspect.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>No. There are few references to literature. It is necessary to add new references to the literature. Add:            1) Svobodová, P., Pejčoch, M., Heroldová, M., Pavlíček, T., Nevo, E., Šumbera, R., &amp; Hubálek, Z., 2009. Examination of rodents (Rodentia) for emmonsiosis in the Czech Republic, Israel and Africa. Czech Mycology, 61, 99-106.            2) Milholland, M.T., Castro-Arellano, I., Suzán, G., Garcia-Peña, G.E., Lee, T.E., Jr., Rohde, R.E., Aguirre, A.A., &amp; Mills, J.N., 2018. Global diversity and distribution of hantaviruses and their hosts. Ecohealth, 15, 163-208.            3) Andreychev, A., Boyarova, E., Brandler, O., Tukhbatullin, A., &amp; Kapustina, S. 2022. Terrestrial and subterranean mammals as reservoirs of zoonotic diseases in the central part of European Russia. Diversity, 15(1), 39.            4) Jeske, K., Schulz, J., Tekemen, D., Balčiauskas, L., Balčiauskienė, L., Hiltbrunner, M., Drewes, S., Mayer-Scholl, A., Heckel, G., &amp; Ulrich, R.G., 2022. Cocirculation of Leptospira spp. and multiple orthohantaviruses in rodents, Lithuania, Northern Europe. Transboundary and Emerging Diseases, 69, 3196-3201.</p> <p>Provide all references according to the rules of the journal. Be specific at the end.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Alexey Andreychev</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>National Research Mordovia State University, Russia</b>