

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Geography, Environment and Earth Science International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JGEESI_100769
Title of the Manuscript:	ASSESSMENT OF POTABLE WATER SUPPLY IN AKURE NORTH LOCAL GOVERNMENT AREA, ONDO STATE, NIGERIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljgeesi.com/index.php/JGEESI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>This study analyzes the main water sources, problems, treatment methods, and transmission of diseases in AKURE NORTH LOCAL GOVERNMENT AREA, ONDO STATE, NIGERIA area, mainly by using questionnaire and field research methods. The study has a certain necessity. However, the research methodology is not deep enough and the conclusions lack novelty. In addition, the figures and tables are difficult to read, and there is much room for improvement. The following aspects are suggested for improvement:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Methodology Please further explain the rationale and content of the questionnaire design; Results Please conduct a probabilistic statistical analysis of the questionnaire results; Results Please corroborate the findings of the questionnaire through WHO or other relevant surveys; recommendations for further evaluation of the effectiveness of domestic water treatment methods are described; Conclusions and recommendations should be concerned with water quality in addition to the water quantity aspect. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>As a non-native English speaker, it is not appropriate for me to comment on the quality of the English language</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>1.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sun Xiang
Department, University & Country	Southern University of Science & Technology, P. R. China