

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Engineering Research and Reports</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JERR_102261</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Investigation of Mechanical, Corrosion and Microstructural Behaviour of Heat Treated Cr-Modified Al-Mg-Si Alloy</b>
Type of the Article	

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljerr.com/index.php/JERR/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments  1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)  2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)  3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b>  4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>  5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b>  6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b>  <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b>	<b>Yes, it is important to the scientific community and has a merit</b>  <b>Yes, the title of the article is suitable.</b>  <b>Yes it is. But It should be compact and concise by avoiding details of introduction and experimental methods in the abstract section.</b>  <b>Yes it is. Table 1 can only be modified by introducing the chemical composition of the experimental alloys, not Summary of charge calculation.</b> <b>Yes it is.</b>  <b>Yes it is. This may reduce some inappropriate references</b>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	<b>It is Ok.</b>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<b>If go through the manuscript again and comply the comments, it will be a good manuscript.</b>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Md. Salim Kaiser</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>International University of Business Agriculture and Technology, Bangladesh</b>