

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Engineering Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JERR_101727
Title of the Manuscript:	Design and Development of a Cowpea Decorticator
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljerr.com/index.php/JERR/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Yes, the manuscript is important to the scientific society</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? No (If not please suggest an alternative title) Suggested title : Design and fabrication of Cowpea Decorticator</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Yes</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Yes</p> <p>6. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>7. Yes</p> <p>8. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. Yes</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. Though different sector of industries are manufacturing the cowpea decorticator, this manuscript seems to be not exact similar but having some uniqueness.</p> <p>2. Some mathematical calculation related to the designing of the kit can be elaborated.</p> <p>3. Shaft dia and belt ply details could be elaborated.</p> <p>4. It is advised to refer the following articles to enhance the manuscript quality. Also advised to incorporate in the reference chapter. (a) https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matpr.2020.03.198 (b) DOI 10.1088/1757-899X/988/1/012004 (c) https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-19-0244-4_25</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? Yes</p>		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sai Suresh
Department, University & Country	Rajalakshmi Institute of Technology, India