

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_100732
Title of the Manuscript:	Optimizing P and Zn Levels for Greengram Growth: Insights into P-Zn Interaction and Rhizospheric Soil pH
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journaljeai.com/index.php/JEAI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. The is no enough related study addressed from old to recent studies in the introduction section. The citation method is not automatic with the help of Endnote or Mendeley make it APA style.</p> <p>2. 'Optimizing P and Zn Levels for Greengram Growth: Insights into P-Zn Interaction and Rhizospheric Soil pH. Very nice title</p> <p>3. The abstract written well with specific outcomes of the work.</p> <p>4. The authors must establish a connection between the state of the art and the objective of the manuscript in the introduction. Please justify both the novelty and relevance of the objective of the manuscript. There is no mechanistic information provided by the authors.</p> <p>5. YES, but with minor issue like there is not any discussion about the microbial aspect which is a key governing aspect of organic matter like P and Zn Levels for Greengram.</p> <p>6. The is no enough related study addressed from old to recent studies in the introduction section. One can also observe that meticulous literature study is missing. Therefore, it is recommended to include some more research paper in particular to the scope of study. please cite:</p> <p>https://doi.org/10.1155/2022/8626200</p> <p>https://doi.org/10.5897/AJAR2022.16270</p> <p>https://doi.org/10.47191/etj/v8i1.06</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>1. The manuscript should be properly re-edited with good English. The introduction is weak and should be supported with other references.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>1 Discussion section needs proper rewriting by comparing the data with previous datasets. In discussion there is no validation for the results.</p> <p>2 Statistical analyses are also started but more are missing and not clear explain deply</p> <p>3 Conclusions should be very precise with specific outcomes of the work.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Yared Seifu Woldeyohannis
Department, University & Country	Dire Dawa University, Ethiopia