

Original Research Article

Optimizing P and Zn Levels for Greengram Growth: Insights into P-Zn Interaction and Rhizospheric Soil pH

ABSTRACT

Aims: Phosphorus (P) and zinc (Zn) are essential nutrients for plant growth, and their interaction can significantly influence nutrient uptake and crop productivity. Understanding the optimal balance between P and Zn is crucial for efficient fertilization strategies, especially in soils with low P status. This study aimed to investigate the impact of P-Zn interaction on greengram growth and its relationship with rhizospheric soil pH.

Materials and Methods: A pot experiment was conducted using red and lateritic soil, with 21 treatments consisting of different levels of P and Zn. Greengram plants were subjected to these treatments, and after 28 days, measurements were taken for dry weight, P and Zn content in shoots, and rhizospheric soil pH.

Results: After 28 days of seeding, strong P-Zn interactions were observed in the soil-plant system, significantly affecting greengram growth, as well as Zn and P accumulation, and rhizospheric soil pH. High P addition resulted in a significant decrease in Zn content, while the dosage of Zn had minimal impact on P content. Interestingly, Zn treatment without P addition did not enhance dry matter. However, increasing Zn levels with P addition up to 200 mg kg⁻¹ soil improved crop growth. Beyond this threshold, yields started to decline, potentially due to P toxicity and/or Zn deficiency. Surprisingly, excessive inorganic P addition increased the rhizospheric soil pH, which could potentially reduce Zn uptake and subsequently elevate the P-Zn molar ratio in the shoot.

Conclusion: This study demonstrates that understanding the optimal doses of P and Zn and their influence on nutrient absorption and rhizospheric soil pH is crucial for sustainable crop production. The findings provide valuable insights for designing effective fertilization strategies in P-deficient acid soils to improve greengram productivity while maintaining nutrient balance.

Keywords: Greengram, interaction, molar ratio, phosphorus, rhizospheric, zinc.

1. INTRODUCTION

Pulses play a crucial role in productive agriculture, particularly after cereals. Mungbean (*Vigna radiata* L.), also known as green gram, is a resilient and short-duration pulse crop. In terms of cultivation area and production in India, it ranks third among edible pulses, trailing behind chickpea (*Cicer arietinum*) and pigeon pea (*Cajanus cajan*) [1]. In India, mungbean is cultivated across approximately 4 million hectares of land, but its average yield of 474 kg/ha falls significantly below its productive potential [2]. Achieving high yields necessitates a balanced supply of macro and micronutrients [3]. Phosphorus (P) and zinc (Zn) are two essential elements required in appropriate quantities, especially for pulse crops. However, these minerals often exhibit adverse interactions within soil-plant systems [4, 5]. Previous studies have investigated the relationship between zinc and phosphorus in plant nutrition. In some instances, the addition of phosphorus has been found to reduce overall zinc uptake by plants [6], while in other cases, it has had no effect or even increased zinc uptake [7].

Conversely, zinc application has been observed to decrease the phosphorus content in grains [8]. The excessive use of phosphate fertilizers in zinc-deficient soils leads to a zinc shortage in plants caused by phosphorus imposition [9, 10]. This adverse effect of excessive phosphorus fertilizer use can hinder global zinc biofortification efforts and ultimately impede crop growth. Moreover, higher phosphate addition can alter the pH of the surrounding rhizospheric soil, which is closely associated with the bioavailability of elements such as phosphorus and zinc [11]. Phosphate adsorption tends to increase soil pH at low levels [12]. Notably, plants grown in acidic soils exhibit higher concentrations of zinc [13]. Given the need for significant phosphorus inputs in low-phosphorus soils, it becomes crucial to determine the optimal dosage of phosphorus and zinc, as exceeding this level can negatively impact root and rhizosphere properties [14]. Limited research has focused on the interaction between phosphorus and zinc, particularly in relation to rhizospheric soil pH, especially in low-phosphorus soil conditions. This study aims to evaluate the influence of phosphate-zinc interaction on the growth and uptake of phosphorus and zinc in mungbean cultivated in red and lateritic soils, as well as the effects on the rhizospheric soil.

2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

Collection of soil samples: To conduct the experiment, soil samples were collected from the uncultivated area located at the Regional Research Station in Jhargram, BCKV, West Bengal, India (coordinates: 22°27'21.17"N, 87°00'42.91"E). Surface soil samples were obtained by using a spade after removing the surface vegetation cover. The collected soil samples, taken from a depth of 0-20cm, were air-dried at room temperature and passed through a 2 mm screen for further analysis. The soil in the red and lateritic soil zone was classified as a coarse loamy Typic Haplustulf. Some of the soil properties were as follows: pH 4.34 (soil:water ratio of 1:2.5), EC (electrical conductivity) 0.51 dS/m (soil:water ratio of 1:2.5), organic carbon content 3.2 g/kg, water holding capacity 27%, Bray P (phosphorus) 5.05 mg/kg, and DTPA-extractable Zn (zinc) 1.08 mg/kg [15].

Pot experiment details: The pot experiment took place at the experimental greenhouse located at Bidhan Chandra Krishi Viswavidyalaya. The experiment involved two variables: Phosphorus and Zinc. The soils were treated with seven different levels of P using KH_2PO_4 and were incubated at room temperature for one day. The P levels used were 0.0, 20.0, 50.0, 100.0, 200.0, 400.0, and 600 mg P kg^{-1} soil. For each P treatment, three levels of Zn in the form of $\text{ZnSO}_4 \cdot 7\text{H}_2\text{O}$ were applied at rates of 0.0, 10.0, and 20.0 mg Zn kg^{-1} soil. Consequently, there were a total of 21 treatments (7 levels of P \times 3 levels of Zn), and each treatment was replicated three times in the pot culture experiment. This resulted in a total of 63 pots (21 \times 3).

The plants were grown in non-draining plastic bags filled with one kg of treated soil. After germination, ten greengram seeds were planted, and six healthy plants were maintained in each bag. Nutrients were supplied through a nutrient solution containing the following composition in mg kg^{-1} soil: N 100, K 100, Ca 15, Mg 6, Mn 4, Mo 1, Cu 1, and B 0.2. The nitrogen (N) was divided equally between NH_4Cl and NaNO_3 . The experimental design employed was a Randomized Complete Block Design (RCBD). To maintain optimal moisture levels, the soils were watered daily to retain 50% of their water holding capacity.

After 28 days of seedling emergence, the stems were harvested by cutting them just above ground level. The harvested plant samples were washed with distilled water, dried at $70 \pm 2^\circ\text{C}$ for 48 hours, and weighed.

P and Zn measurement: The zinc and phosphorus content in the dried plant samples were determined by digestion. The plant samples were digested using a triacid mixture consisting of nitric acid (HNO_3), sulfuric acid (H_2SO_4), and perchloric acid (HClO_4) in a volume ratio of 10:1:4. Subsequently, the amount of zinc in the digested extracts was measured using atomic absorption spectrophotometry (AAS) with a Perkin Elmer instrument. On the other hand, the phosphorus content was determined using the Molybdate Blue method.

pH measurement: After cutting the shoots at the soil surface, the plastic bags were lifted from the pots to separate the roots from the soil. Approximately 1.0 g of fresh fine roots with rhizospheric soil was collected and soaked in 20 mL of 0.2 mM CaCl₂ solution for approximately 5 minutes. The pH of the rhizospheric extract was measured using a pH meter, following the method described by He *et al.* [16].

Subsequently, soil samples were air-dried and passed through a 2-mm sieve. From each pot, approximately 10 g of bulk soil sample was taken to measure the soil pH. This was done by mixing the soil sample with 25 mL of 0.02 M CaCl₂ solution. The pH of the soil extract was then measured.

Calculation and statistical analysis: To determine the uptake of phosphorus (P) and zinc (Zn) by the greengram shoot, the dry weight of the shoot was multiplied by the concentrations of P and Zn. This calculation provided the respective P and Zn uptake values. The P/Zn molar ratio was calculated by multiplying the P/Zn content by the ratio of the molecular weight of Zn to the molecular weight of P.

The statistical analysis was performed using the general linear model in the IBM SPSS Statistics 22.0 software package. The effects of soil Zn rate, P rate, and their interaction (Zn × P) on all measured and calculated parameters were examined. Effects were considered significant if the *P*-values were less than 0.05, and trending to be significant if the *p*-values were between 0.05 and 0.10. Finally, the curves representing the data were generated using Sigma Plot version 10 software.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The dry matter weight of greengram shoots: The results of the study showed the impact of different levels of phosphorus (P) and zinc (Zn) on the dry weight of greengram, as illustrated in Figure 1. The statistical analysis presented in Table 1 confirmed that the effects of P and Zn addition on greengram dry weight were significant ($P \leq 0.05$).

Table 1: Sources of variance in different parameters under combined addition of P and Zn in greengram.

	Dry weight	P conc.	P uptake	Zn conc.	Zn uptake	P/Zn molar ratio	Soil pH	Rhizosphere pH
Main effects								
P addition	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***
Zn addition	***	NS	***	***	***	***	NS	NS
Interaction								
P×Zn	***	***	***	***	***	***	NS	***

*** Significant F at $P \leq 0.05$

Inadequate P levels had a detrimental effect on crop development, with no response observed to Zn addition. The addition of P up to 200 mg kg⁻¹ soil resulted in enhanced crop growth, with dry weight ranging from 31.66 to 106.47 mg plant⁻¹. However, when P levels exceeded 200 mg kg⁻¹ soil, the yield started to decline, reaching 66.30 mg plant⁻¹.

On the other hand, Zn treatments showed an increase in dry matter yield, ranging from 61.53 mg plant⁻¹ in the Zn₀ treatment to 83.09 mg plant⁻¹ in the Zn₂₀ treatment. Notably, the impact of Zn addition on dry matter varied depending on the P levels, and vice versa, indicating a significant interaction between P and Zn.

Among the treatments, the highest dry matter production of 123.90 mg plant⁻¹ was observed in the treatment that received 200 mg P kg⁻¹ soil and 20 mg Zn kg⁻¹ soil. This was followed by the treatment with 200 mg kg⁻¹ P and 10 mg kg⁻¹ Zn, which yielded 108.23 mg plant⁻¹. Conversely, the lowest dry matter production of 31.26 mg plant⁻¹ was observed in the treatment where 20 mg Zn kg⁻¹ was added without any P supplementation.




Figure 1. Effects of different levels of P and Zn on shoot dry weight (mg plant^{-1}) of greengram. Data are presented as means \pm SE ($n = 3$).

P concentration and uptake by greengram shoots: The content of phosphorus (P) in the greengram shoots was significantly influenced by P addition and the interaction between P and Zn ($P \leq 0.05$), as indicated in Table 1.

The addition of P had a positive effect on the P content in greengram shoots, regardless of the Zn levels (Figure 2). P concentrations in the shoots ranged from 1.70 mg/g in the P_0Z_{20} treatment to 20.32 mg/g in the $P_{600}Z_{20}$ treatment. Interestingly, the Zn treatments did not have a noticeable impact on the P content in plant tissues when high P rates were applied. However, at low P levels, high Zn application led to a reduction in P concentration in the shoots.




Figure 2: Effects of different levels of P and Zn on P concentration (mg g^{-1}) and uptake (mg plant^{-1}) by greengram shoot. Data presented as means \pm SE ($n=3$). Bar and line represents P conc. and uptake, respectively.

Visual observations of the plants provided insights into P deficiency and toxicity symptoms. Plants that received 50 mg P/kg soil or less exhibited signs of P insufficiency, such as thin stems and narrow leaves. On the other hand, some leaves displayed symptoms of P toxicity, such as chlorosis and necrosis on the older leaf margins, when P levels exceeded 400 mg/kg soil.

The interaction between P and Zn had significant effects on P uptake by the shoots under different P and Zn rates (Figure 2). The addition of both P and Zn significantly increased P absorption by the shoots. Plants grown in pots treated with 200 mg P kg⁻¹ soil had the highest P uptake (1.68 mg plant⁻¹), while plants without any P treatment showed the lowest P uptake (0.06 mg plant⁻¹). The application of Zn treatments led to a significant increase in P absorption, with 20 mg kg⁻¹ Zn being the most effective (1.03 mg plant⁻¹). In contrast, the control plants without Zn supplementation had the lowest P uptake (0.78 mg plant⁻¹). The highest P uptake was observed in the P₂₀₀Zn₂₀ treatment (1.94 mg P plant⁻¹), but as P supply increased further, there was a decline in P uptake due to poorer yield resulting from nutrient imbalance. Notably, higher P addition combined with low Zn resulted in symptoms of both P toxicity and Zn insufficiency, indicating the complex interaction between these nutrients.

Zn concentration and uptake by greengram shoots: The concentration and uptake of zinc (Zn) in greengram shoots were significantly influenced by different levels of phosphorus (P) and Zn, as well as their interaction ($P \leq 0.05$), as indicated in Table 1.

Increasing the supply of Zn resulted in higher Zn content in the plants, while Zn content declined with increasing P application (Figure 3). The maximum Zn concentration (239.06 mg kg⁻¹) was observed when no P was applied in combination with 20 mg Zn kg⁻¹ soil, while the lowest Zn concentration (50.07 mg kg⁻¹) was obtained when no Zn was used in conjunction with 600 mg kg⁻¹ P. Increasing P from 0 to 600 mg kg⁻¹ led to a 45.29% decrease in shoot Zn content, whereas the addition of Zn from 0 to 20 mg kg⁻¹ resulted in a 133% increase.




Figure 3. Effects of different levels of P and Zn on Zn concentration (mg kg⁻¹) and uptake (µg plant⁻¹) by greengram shoots. Data presented as means ± SE (n=3). Bar and line represent Zn conc. and uptake, respectively.

Plants that did not receive Zn supplementation exhibited poor development and low Zn concentrations in their shoots, but they did not show severe symptoms of Zn deficiency on their leaves up to 400 mg kg⁻¹ P addition. However, when P concentrations exceeded 400

mg kg⁻¹, the middle and fully grown leaves displayed symptoms of Zn deficiency, such as interveinal chlorosis and reddish-brown patches, at all Zn levels.

The addition of Zn significantly increased Zn absorption, with the highest Zn uptake (15.83 µg plant⁻¹) observed in the Zn₂₀ treatment. The maximum amount of Zn (14.70 µg Zn plant⁻¹) was taken up by greengram shoots when P was applied at 200 mg P kg⁻¹ soil, likely due to increased dry matter production in the shoots. This was followed by 14.38 µg Zn plant⁻¹ in the P₁₀₀ treatment. All rates of Zn fertilization enhanced shoot Zn absorption with P addition up to 200 mg P kg⁻¹ soil, but above this dose, Zn uptake decreased. The highest Zn uptake (21.40 µg plant⁻¹) was observed in the P₂₀₀Zn₂₀ treatment, which was nearly identical to the P₁₀₀Zn₂₀ treatment (20.90 µg plant⁻¹). The findings suggest that high P concentrations (>200 mg P kg⁻¹) reduce the total Zn absorption by the shoots.

P-Zn molar ratios in greengram shoots: The P-Zn molar ratio in greengram shoots, which is an important factor determining P-induced Zn deficiency and a bioavailability trait in crop growth, was significantly affected by the combined addition of P and Zn ($P \leq 0.05$), as shown in Table 1.

The P-Zn molar ratios ranged from 14.92 to 826.22 in the experimental treatments. The highest P-Zn molar ratio (824.12) was observed when no Zn was applied in combination with 600 mg P kg⁻¹, while the lowest ratio (14.87) was found when no P was applied in conjunction with 20 mg kg⁻¹ Zn. The addition of Zn substantially decreased the molar ratio at different P levels, whereas the addition of P significantly increased the ratio at all Zn levels (Figure 4).




Figure 4. Effects of different levels of P and Zn on P-Zn Molar Ratios in Greengram Shoots.

Greengram plants exhibited better performance after 4 weeks of growth when the P-Zn molar ratio was between 130 and 180, which was achieved with P additions ranging from 100 to 200 mg kg⁻¹ regardless of Zn addition. When the P-Zn molar ratio exceeded 210, both Zn deficiency and P toxicity were observed, indicating an imbalance between the two nutrients.

These findings highlight the importance of maintaining an appropriate P-Zn molar ratio in crop plants to avoid nutrient imbalances and promote optimal growth and productivity.

Soil and rhizospheric extract pH: According to Table 2, the bulk soil pH ranged from 4.22 to 4.96, while the rhizospheric extract pH ranged from 4.71 to 6.31. In all cases, the rhizospheric extract pH was higher than the bulk soil pH, indicating a more alkaline environment in the rhizosphere. Furthermore, there was a positive correlation between the pH of the bulk soil and the rhizospheric extract, as shown in Table 3.

Among the treatments, P₂₀₀Z₂₀ had the highest pH values for both the soil (4.96) and the rhizospheric extract (6.31). On the other hand, P₀Z₂₀ and P₀Z₁₀ exhibited the lowest pH values for both the soil (4.22) and the rhizospheric extract (4.71). The addition of different levels of P had a significant effect on both the soil and rhizospheric extract pH ($P \leq 0.05$), as indicated in Table 1. While the rhizospheric extract pH seemed to be influenced by the interaction between P and Zn, there was no impact of Zn addition on either pH.

Table 2. Effects of different levels of P and Zn on soil and rhizospheric extract pH

	Soil pH				Rhizospheric extract pH			
	Zn 0	Zn 10	Zn 20	Mean	Zn 0	Zn 10	Zn 20	Mean
P 0	4.23	4.22	4.22	4.22 ^g	4.91	4.71	5.04	4.89 ^g
P 20	4.33	4.28	4.32	4.31 ^f	5.14	5.15	5.43	5.24 ^f
P 50	4.51	4.43	4.45	4.47 ^e	5.49	5.52	5.56	5.52 ^e
P 100	4.61	4.52	4.54	4.56 ^d	5.60	5.61	5.71	5.64 ^d
P 200	4.66	4.65	4.66	4.66 ^c	5.75	5.74	5.81	5.77 ^c
P 400	4.76	4.78	4.91	4.82 ^b	5.86	5.92	6.04	5.94 ^b
P 600	4.94	4.86	4.96	4.92 ^a	6.27	6.22	6.31	6.27 ^a
Mean	4.58 ^b	4.54 ^{ab}	4.58 ^a		5.57 ^{ab}	5.55 ^b	5.70 ^a	

*The means with the same letters are not significantly different at 5% level of probability

On average, considering the three Zn treatments, the soil pH increased from 4.22 to 4.92, and the rhizospheric extract pH increased from 4.89 to 6.27 as the P levels increased from 0 to 600 mg kg⁻¹. In greengram shoots, the P concentration and P/Zn molar ratio were positively correlated with both pH values. However, the Zn concentration in the shoots showed an inverse relationship with both pH values.

These findings suggest that the addition of P and Zn can influence soil and rhizospheric pH, with higher P levels generally resulting in increased pH. The pH values in the rhizosphere can have implications for nutrient availability and uptake by plants, as well as for the relationships between P, Zn, and other nutrients in the soil-plant system.

Table 3. Correlation of different parameters under combined addition of P and Zn in greengram.

	P level	Zn level	P conc.	Zn conc.	P/Zn	Soil pH	Rhizosp here pH
P level	1						
Zn level	.000	1					
P conc.	.901 ^{**}	-.002	1				
Zn conc.	-.479 ^{**}	.808 ^{**}	-.517 ^{**}	1			
P/Zn	.784 ^{**}	-.419 ^{**}	.781 ^{**}	-.754 ^{**}	1		
Soil pH	.901 ^{**}	.010	.951 ^{**}	-.514 ^{**}	.760 ^{**}	1	
Rhizospheric pH	.876 ^{**}	.121	.928 ^{**}	-.424 ^{**}	.716 ^{**}	.934 ^{**}	1

** Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

Our findings indicate that applying P and Zn in appropriate proportions enhances the performance of greengram plants compared to individual treatments of P or Zn. We found that the absorption of P by greengram shoots increased significantly when both P and Zn

were added up to a certain limit. This finding aligns with previous research conducted on maize [17]. However, applying high doses of P (>400 mg/kg soil) resulted in P toxicity in the lower leaves, as the shoot P concentration exceeded the phytotoxic limit of 2% [18]. Additionally, the severity of Zn insufficiency was exacerbated, irrespective of Zn addition. The increase in P content was associated with a reduction in Zn concentrations in the shoots, indicating a possible competition between the two nutrients. Increased P fertilization rapidly improved crop biomass in conditions of low-available P soil, and the dilution effect may play a key role in reducing Zn concentrations, especially when the amount of P added increases from insufficient to adequate levels [19]. Similar results have been reported for various cereals and pulses, such as rice [7, 20]; wheat [18, 21]; maize [22]; cowpea [23]; bean [24] and chia [25].

Interestingly, when the P rate was increased from optimal to excessive levels, there was no improvement in crop yield, but the Zn content steadily declined. This decline cannot be fully explained by the dilution effect. The reduced Zn concentration in the plant due to higher levels of P addition may be attributed to changes in Zn availability in the soil rhizosphere [26-28]. Surprisingly, excessive inorganic P addition raised the pH of both the soil and the rhizosphere. This is because roots have a tendency to increase pH rather than decrease it [29, 30]. The pH of the soil and rhizosphere of greengram plants grown in red and lateritic soils increased as a result of the plants' release of bicarbonate (HCO_3^-) or hydroxyl ions (OH^-) to maintain electrical neutrality across the soil-root interface when higher P is applied to low P soil [31]. Similar outcomes have been observed with higher NO_3^- absorption [32, 33]. The decrease in Zn uptake by greengram shoots may be attributed to the increase in soil and rhizospheric pH, as it can immobilize Zn [11, 34] and lead to an elevated P-Zn molar ratio above the optimal level. This may explain the insufficient Zn absorption at higher P addition in P-deficient soil. Therefore, it is recommended to fertilize P-deficient soils with the appropriate amounts of P and Zn, below which the root and rhizosphere qualities of the plants begin to suffer [14].

4. CONCLUSION

Our study highlights the significance of the interaction between P and Zn in influencing the growth and nutrient uptake of greengram plants. The findings emphasize the importance of maintaining a balanced application of P and Zn to optimize plant performance and mitigate potential nutrient imbalances. Further research and exploration are warranted to better understand the underlying mechanisms driving the P-Zn interaction and to develop precise fertilization strategies that promote sustainable crop production in diverse soil conditions.

REFERENCES

1. Singh P, Yadav KK, Meena FS, Singh B, Singh R. Effect of phosphorus and sulphur on yield attributes, yield and nutrient uptake of mungbean (*Vigna radiata* L.) in central plain zone of Punjab, India. *Plant Arch.* 2017;17:1756-1760.
2. Anonymous. Ministry of Agriculture, Government of India. 2014.
3. Sawan ZM, Hafez SA, Basyony AE. Effect of phosphorus fertilization and foliar application of chelated zinc and calcium on seed, protein and oil properties of cotton. *J. Agric. Sci.* 2001;136:191-198.
4. Akhtar M, Yousaf S, Sarwar N, Hussain S. Zinc biofortification of cereals-role of phosphorus and other impediments in alkaline calcareous soils. *Environ. Geochem. Hlth.* 2019;41:2365-2379.
5. Pongrac P, McNicol JW, Lilly A, Thompson JA, Wright G, Hillier S, White PJ. Mineral element composition of cabbage as affected by soil type and phosphorus and zinc fertilisation. *Plant Soil.* 2019;434:151-165.
6. Naeem A, Aslam M, Lodhi A. Improved potassium nutrition retrieves phosphorus-induced decrease in zinc uptake and grain zinc concentration of wheat. *J. Sci. Food Agric.* 2018;98:4351-4356.

7. Su D, Zhou L, Zhao Q, Pan G, Cheng F. Different phosphorus supplies altered the accumulations and quantitative distributions of phytic acid, zinc, and iron in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) grains. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* 2018;66:1601-1611.
8. Hossain MA, Jahiruddin M, Khatun F. Response of maize varieties to zinc fertilization. *Bangl. J. Agric. Res.* 2011;36:437-447.
9. Haldar M, Mandal LN. Effect of phosphorus and zinc on the growth and phosphorus, zinc, copper, iron and manganese nutrition of rice. *Plant Soil.* 1981;59:415-425.
10. Mousavi SR. Zinc in crop production and interaction with phosphorus. *Aust. J. Basic Appl. Sci.* 2011;5:1503-1509.
11. Loosemore N, Straczek A, Hinsinger P, Jaillard B. Zinc mobilisation from a contaminated soil by three genotypes of tobacco as affected by soil and rhizosphere pH. *Plant Soil.* 2004;260:19-32.
12. Barrow NJ, Debnath A, Sen A. Effect of phosphate sorption on soil pH. *Eur. J. Soil Sci.* 2022;73:13172.
13. Borkert CM, Cox FR. Effects of acidity at high soil zinc, copper, and manganese on peanut, rice, and soybean. *Commun. Soil Sci. Plant Anal.* 1999;30:1371-1384.
14. Teng W, Deng Y, Chen X, Xu X, Chen R, Lv Y, Zhao Y, Zhao X, He X, Li B. Phosphorus and zinc interactions in two wheat genotypes differing in P uptake efficiency. *Plant Soil.* 2013;368:193-206.
15. Jackson, M. L. (1973). *Soil Chemical Analysis: Advanced Course*. Madison, WI: Soil Science Society of America.
16. He, Y., et al. (2020). Determination of pH in rhizospheric extracts. *Journal of Visualized Experiments*, (163), e61245.
17. Imran M, Mahmood A, Shahzad SM. Effects of phosphorus and zinc application on growth and yield of maize. *J Plant Nutr.* 2016;39(4):518-528.
18. Ova EA, Yilmaz HR, Gercek S. The effect of phosphorus toxicity on zinc concentration in wheat plants. *Emirates J Food Agric.* 2015;27(10):761-767.
19. Soltangheisi A, Bybordi A, Malakouti MJ. Effects of phosphorus and zinc fertilization on yield and quality of rice grain in a calcareous soil. *Commun Soil Sci Plant Anal.* 2014;45(3):304-317.
20. Amanullah MM, Inamullah M. Response of rice to phosphorus and zinc fertilization in flooded soil. *Commun Soil Sci Plant Anal.* 2016;47(4):495-508.
21. Vafaei M, Sarraf M. Influence of different phosphorus and zinc rates on yield and quality of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) cultivars. *J Agric Sci Technol.* 2014;16(4):875-885.
22. Aydemir S, Ozkutlu F. Response of maize to phosphorus-zinc interactions in calcareous soils. *Commun Soil Sci Plant Anal.* 2018;49(15):1866-1882.
23. Kumar S, Kumar D, Singh NP. Response of phosphorus and zinc on yield, yield components and quality of cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* L. Walp). *Legume Res.* 2016;39(3):409-414.
24. Gianquinto G, Scartazza A, Lulli F, Izzo R. Effect of phosphate nutrition on nitrate assimilation in bean plants (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.). *J Plant Nutr.* 2000;23(12):1805-1816.
25. Korkmaz M, Demirkaya M, Aslantaş R. Effect of phosphorus and zinc fertilization on growth and yield of chia (*Salvia hispanica* L.) under irrigated conditions. *J Plant Nutr.* 2021;44(5):811-822.
26. Deng W, Huang L, Liu L, Shen L, Xiao Q. The influence of phosphorus and zinc on the adsorption, desorption, and availability of zinc in red soil. *Soil Sci Plant Nutr.* 2017;63(3):245-254.
27. Gaume B, Renault P, Vauclin M. Phosphate-induced metal immobilization in a contaminated soil and its implications for Zn and Cd transferability. *Environ Sci Technol.* 2001;35(5):907-915.
28. Shen J, Li H, Neumann G, Zhang F. Nutrient uptake, cluster root formation and exudation of protons and citrate in *Lupinus albus* as affected by localized supply of phosphorus in a split-root system. *Plant Sci.* 2002;163(3):529-537.

29. Metzger H. Untersuchungen über den einfluss einiger faktoren auf das sauerstoffbedürfnis von wurzeln. *Flora*. 1928;122:67-113.
30. Nye PH. The effect of root excretions from oats on the pH of soil and the availability of minerals. *Soil Biol Biochem*. 1968;1(3):209-221.
31. Hinsinger P, Plassard C, Tang C, Jaillard B. Origins of root-mediated pH changes in the rhizosphere and their responses to environmental constraints: A review. *Plant Soil*. 2003;248(1-2):43-59.
32. Turpault MP, Echevarria G, Frérot H, Foulon J, Morel JL. Effects of nitrate addition on zinc and cadmium accumulation and speciation in three metalicolous populations of *Arabidopsis halleri*. *Environ Pollut*. 2007;148(1):66-76.
33. Riley D, Barber SA. Salt accumulation at the surface of soil: Evidence from laboratory experiment. *Science*. 1969;166(3904):514-515.
34. Saha S, Ramanathan A, Das DK, Bhattacharyya P. Forms of zinc and phosphorus as affected by phosphorus-zinc interaction in calcareous soil. *Commun Soil Sci Plant Anal*. 1999;30(13-14):1797-1811.

UNDER PEER REVIEW