

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Research Journal of Gastroenterology and Hepatology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IRJGH_101814
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of Tramadol Hydrochloride on the Biomarkers of Liver and Kidney function and Oxidative stress in Male wistar Albino Rats.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://journalirjgh.com/index.php/IRJGH/editorial-policy>)

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</li> <li>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</li> <li>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</li> <li>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</li> </ol> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>The study carried out, evaluates the toxic potential of high doses of tramadol, administered to male wistar albino rats, offering results similar to those in the literature, regarding nephrotoxicity, hepatotoxicity and the generation of oxidative stress by this drug.</p> <p>The title eloquently reflects the content of the article.</p> <p>The abstract presents the entire study synthetically, detailing the method and the results and clearly presenting the results and the conclusion.</p> <p>The article meets all the attributes of a research study, clearly presenting the material and method used, explains in detail the results with statistical analysis (perhaps more convincing on a larger number of subjects), and the final conclusions are absolutely relevant, in accordance with the data from the literature .</p> <p>The study is certainly correct, due to the simplicity of the method and the transparency of the results, similar to the data published in the literature.</p> <p>The bibliography contains more than 20 indexes, representatives chosen for the analysis of the study data, being correctly inserted in the manuscript.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</li> </ol>	<p>The English used is correct, keywords are chosen correctly</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>Follow author guidelines</p>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Cirimbei Ciprian</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Institute of Oncology, University of Medicine and Pharmacy, Romania</b>