

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_99789
Title of the Manuscript:	A REVIEW ON UNDERSTANDING THE EFFECTS AND MECHANISMS OF SALINITY TOLERANCE IN RICE (Oryza Sativa L.)
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	Yes, the manuscript is very important for scientific community because the salinity, along with drought, is one of the key abiotic stressors that has posed a danger to the advancement and evolution of cereal crops like rice and wheat. Water shortage and a lack of irrigation water availability are the main causes of salty soil formation. Yes, the title of this article is suitable Yes, the abstract of this article comprehensive. Yes, the subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate. Yes, I think the manuscript is scientifically correct. Yes, the references are sufficient and recent No, Thanks, these comments are enough.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, language/English quality of the article is very good and suitable for scholarly communications.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mohamed Fathy Zaki Soliman
Department, University & Country	National Research Centre, Egypt