

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Plant &amp; Soil Science</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJPSS_96580</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>In-Situ Rice residue management practices and its impact on climate, Soil fertility and crop productivity -A review</b>
Type of the Article	

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy> )

### **PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p><b>Yes</b></p> <p><b>Title acceptable</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- The summary does not include the different structures.</li> <li>- The methodology used is not specified in the summary</li> <li>- The objective of this study is not indicated in the abstract.</li> </ul> <p><b>No</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- The author has not well structured his manuscript.</li> <li>- He did not specify the MATERIALS AND METHODS part</li> <li>- He did not specify the RESULTS AND DISCUSSION part</li> </ul> <p><b>Yes</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- There are too many references for this manuscript (58 references).</li> <li>- The author must take care that only the references of the authors quoted in the manuscript must be written in this part.</li> <li>- Of the 58 references cited, 10 references were published before the year 2000.</li> </ul> <p><u>INTRODUCTION</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Specify the sources of the data and figures provided in the introduction.</li> <li>- The introduction is too long.</li> <li>- It is necessary to highlight the problem of this study carried out.</li> </ul> <p><u>MATERIAL AND METHODS</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Specify the references of the experimental methods used.</li> <li>- Present figures showing the morphology of the plant studied (rice)</li> </ul>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p><b>Yes</b></p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Koudegnan Comlan Mawussi</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Forest Research Laboratory, University of Lomé, Togo</b>