

**Determining the Influence of Biofertilizers and Nitrogen on the Growth and Yield of  
Barley  
(*Hordeum vulgare* L.)**

**ABSTRACT**

The field experiment entitled “Influence of Biofertilizers and Nitrogen on the Growth and Yield of Barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.)” was conducted during *Rabi* season, 2022 at Crop Research Farm in the Department of agronomy, Naini Agriculture Institute, Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture, Technology and Sciences, Prayagraj Uttar Pradesh. The treatment consisted of three level of Biofertilizers [*Azotobacter*, *Azospirillum* and *Azotobacter* + *Azospirillum*], Nitrogen (60, 80 and 100 kg/ha) and control. The experiment was layout in Randomized Block Design (RBD) with 10 treatments and replicated thrice. The soil in the experimental area was sandy loam with pH (8.0), EC (0.56 dS/m), Organic Carbon (0.62%), Available N (225 kg/ha), Available P (38.2 kg/ha), and Available K (240.7 kg/ha). Application of *Azotobacter* + *Azospirillum* and N 100 kg/ha produces higher Grain yield (4.34 t/ha), Straw yield (5.34 t/ha), Gross return (101977.56 INR/ha), Net return (74074.56 INR/ha) and B:C (2.65).

**Keywords:** Barley, Biofertilizers, Nitrogen, Growth and Yield

**Introduction**

“Barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.) is the world’s fourth most important cereal after wheat, rice and maize. The major use of barley is in brewing industries for manufacturing malt. Both barley grains and straw are highly digestible compared to wheat because they do not contain

gluten. Barley ranks next to wheat both in acreage and production among *Rabi* cereals in India. In India, barley is mainly grown in the northern plains and concentrated in the states of Uttar Pradesh, Haryana and Rajasthan. In India, barley was cultivated on 609 thousand ha area with 1818 thousand tonnes of production at an average productivity of 29.88 q/ha. In India, Rajasthan is the largest state having more than 52 % in production and 46% area followed by Uttar Pradesh. In Uttar Pradesh, barley was cultivated on 159.0 thousand ha area with 498.0 thousand tonnes of production at an average productivity of 31.32 q/ha” (IIWBR, 2020-21).

In order to find out some alternative for fertilizer nitrogen economy, the use of diazotrophic bacteria has been evaluated. *Azotobacter* is a well known free-living heterotrophic bacterium which plays a beneficial role in crop production. *Rhizobium* and *Azotobacter* have mostly been emphasised in studies on biological nitrogen fixing. *Azospirillum*, however, now forms an associative symbiosis with wheat as a result of the discovery of free-living N<sub>2</sub>-fixing bacteria. It has been proposed that either enhanced hormone production by these bacteria or improved nutrient uptake by inoculated roots may be responsible for the plant's response in terms of growth. In general, soils with high levels of organic matter have shown yield gains for a variety of crops. However, nitrogen fertiliser and organic matter are more expensive, making it impossible for India's small-scale and marginal farmers to employ them more extensively. Therefore, it was deemed crucial to determine the proper and suitable level of nitrogen fertiliser for these inoculations in the production of barley. (Kumar *et al.*, 2013). “Biofertilizers play vital role to enhance the growth as well as the yield of crop plants. They involve in various biotic activities and sustainable for crop production” (Amjed *et al.*, 2011). “Biofertilizers play an important role in the growth of plants as well as they bring down the cost of chemical fertilizers *E.g.* phosphorous, nitrogen and potassium. Biofertilizers contains microscopic microorganisms which are used as fertilizers for the growth of plants *e.g* *Azospirillum* sp. and *Azotobacter* sp. Biofertilizers due to its renewable, cheap and ecofriendly nature has gained increasing popularity in the past one decade in the field of agriculture and food production”. [7]

“Nitrogen is one the most important mineral nutrients for poaceae plants influencing growth, development, yield, and protein content of grains. Wheat yields in the semiarid regions are not only limited by inadequate water supply, but also by nitrogen shortage late in the cropping season. Nitrogen plays a vital role in all living tissues of the plant. No other element has such an effect on promoting vigorous plant growth. Abundant protein tends to

increase number of the leaves, and accordingly, to bring about an increase in carbohydrate synthesis. Nitrogen plays a vital role in increasing the yield of the crop. Application of proper amount of nitrogen is considered key to obtain bumper crop of wheat. Nitrogen comprises 7% of total dry matter of plants and is a constituent of many fundamental cell components such as nucleic acids, amino acids, enzymes, and photosynthetic pigments. Generally, nitrogen (N) fertilization at sowing increases wheat grain yield, and late fertilization enhances grain protein concentration” (**Bishnupriya Patra and Pratik Kumar Ray, 2018**).

## **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

This experiment was laid out during the *Rabi* season of 2022 at Crop Research Farm, Department of Agronomy, Naini Agricultural Institute, Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture, Technology and Sciences, Prayagraj (U.P.). The crop research farm is situated at 25° 39’ 42’’ N latitude, 81° 67’ 56’’ E longitude and at an altitude of 98 m above mean sea level. The experiment was laid out in Randomized Block Design (RBD) which consisting of ten treatments; T<sub>1</sub> – Control (NPK 80-30-20 Kg/ha), T<sub>2</sub> – *Azotobacter* + Nitrogen 60 kg/ha, T<sub>3</sub> – *Azotobacter* + Nitrogen 80 kg/ha, T<sub>4</sub> – *Azotobacter* + Nitrogen 100 kg/ha, T<sub>5</sub> – *Azospirillum* + Nitrogen 60 kg/ha, T<sub>6</sub> – *Azospirillum* + Nitrogen 80 kg/ha, T<sub>7</sub> – *Azospirillum* + Nitrogen 100 kg/ha, T<sub>8</sub> – *Azotobacter* + *Azospirillum* + Nitrogen 60 kg/ha, T<sub>9</sub> – *Azotobacter* + *Azospirillum* + Nitrogen 80 kg/ha, T<sub>10</sub> – *Azotobacter* + *Azospirillum* + Nitrogen 100 kg/ha. The soil in the experimental area was sandy loam with pH (8.0), Organic Carbon (0.62%), Available N (225 kg/ha), Available P (38.2 kg/ha), and Available K (240.7 kg/ha). Seeds are sown at a spacing of 23 cm × 5 cm to a seed rate of 100 kg/ha. The recommended dose of nitrogen (80 kg/ha), phosphorus (30 kg/ha) and potassium (20 kg/ha). Data recorded on different aspects of crop, *viz.*, growth, yield attributes were subjected to statistical analysis by analysis of variance (ANOVA) method. (**Gomez and Gomez, 1976**) and economic data analysis by mathematical method.

### **Grain Yield (t/ha):**

At harvest, Treatment 10 [*Azotobacter* + *Azospirillum* + Nitrogen 100 kg/ha], was recorded significantly maximum Grain yield (4.31 t/ha) which was superior over all other treatments. However, the treatment 9 [*Azotobacter* + *Azospirillum* + Nitrogen 80 kg/ha] (4.26 t/ha), treatment 8 [*Azotobacter* + *Azospirillum* + Nitrogen 60 kg/ha] (3.89 t/ha), treatment 7

[*Azospirillum*+ Nitrogen 100 kg/ha] (3.67 t/ha), treatment 6 [*Azospirillum*+ Nitrogen 80 kg/ha] (3.70 t/ha), was found to be statistically at par with the treatment 10.

The significant and maximum grain yield was observed with the application of Biofertilizers (20g/kg seed) might be due increase levels of biofertilizers application increased yield attributes of barley might be due to release of growth hormones by various biofertilizers. Similar findings for yield attributes were reported by **Diman and Dubey (2017)**.

#### **Straw yield (t/ha):**

At harvest, Treatment 10 [*Azotobacter* + *Azospirillum* + Nitrogen 100 kg/ha], was recorded significantly maximum Straw yield (5.51t/ha) which was superior over all other treatments. However, the treatment 9 [*Azotobacter* + *Azospirillum* + Nitrogen 80 kg/ha] (5.42 t/ha), treatment 8 [*Azotobacter* + *Azospirillum* + Nitrogen 60 kg/ha] (5.04 t/ha), treatment 7 [*Azospirillum*+ Nitrogen 100 kg/ha] (4.92 t/ha), was found to be statistically at par with the treatment 10.

#### **Harvest index (%):**

At harvest, highest harvest index (44.66%) was recorded treatment 6 [*Azospirillum*+ Nitrogen 80 kg/ha], though there was no significant difference among the treatments.

#### **Cost of Production (INR/ha)**

Cost of production (27923.00 INR) was found to be highest in treatment 7 [*Azospirillum*+ Nitrogen 100 kg/ha] as compared to other treatment.

#### **Gross return (INR/ha)**

Gross return (101977.56) was found to be highest in treatment 10 [*Azotobacter* + *Azospirillum* + Nitrogen 100 kg/ha] as compared to other treatment.

#### **Net return (INR/ha)**

Net return (74074.56) was found to be highest in treatment 10 [*Azotobacter* + *Azospirillum* + Nitrogen 100 kg/ha] as compared to other treatment.

#### **B: C Ratio**

Benefit Cost Ratio (2.65) was found to be highest in treatment 10 [*Azotobacter* + *Azospirillum* + Nitrogen 100 kg/ha] as compared to other treatment.

## **CONCLUSION:**

It is concluded that in barley with the seed inoculation with *Azotobacter* and *Azospirillum* along with the application of Nitrogen 100 kg/ha (Treatment 10) observed highest seed yield, straw yield, gross return, net return and benefit- cost ratio.

## **ACKNOWLEDGEMENT**

I express my gratitude to my advisor Dr. RAJESH SINGH for constant support, guidance and for his valuable suggestions for improving the quality of this Research work and to all the faculty members of Department of Agronomy, SHUATS, Prayagraj, Uttar Pradesh (U.P), India for providing necessary facilities, for their cooperation, encouragement and support.

## **REFERENCE**

1. Amjed Ali, Ahmad A, Syed WH, Khaliq T, Asif M, Aziz M (2011). Effects of nitrogen on growth and yield components of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). *Science International* **23**(4):331-332.
2. Dhiman, S. and Dubey., Y.P. (2017). Effect of biofertilizers and inorganic fertilizers on yield attributes, yield and quality of *Triticum aestivum* and *Zea mays* in an acid alfisol. *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences* **6**(7): 2594-2603.
3. Gomez, K. A. and Gomez, A. A. (1976). Statistical procedures for agriculture Research, 2<sup>nd</sup> Edition, John Wiley and Son, New York, 680p.
4. IIWBR. (2020-21). Progress Report, All India Coordinated Wheat and Barley Improvement Project. ICAR-Indian Institute of Wheat and Barley Research, Karnal, Haryana **6**: pp-1.1
5. Kumar, T., Kumar, J. S. A. and Dawson, J. (2013). Effect of different levels of nitrogen and biofertilizers on growth and yield of barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.) *Advance research journal of crop improvement* **4**(1):59-61.
6. Patra B, Ray PK. (2018) Response of Wheat to Various Nitrogen Levels under Late Sown Condition. *Journal of Experimental Agriculture International* **21**(1):1-5.

7. Achari KS, Singh S, Ahmed S. Effect of bio-fertilizers and nitrogen levels on growth and yield of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). *The Pharma Innovation Journal* 2021; 10(10): 1979-1982
8. Debbarma V. Influence of potassium and zinc on growth, yield and economics of cluster bean (*Cyamopsis tetragonoloba* L.). *International Journal of Plant & Soil Science.* 2023 Apr 13;35(9):77-84.

**Table 1: Response of Biofertilizers and Nitrogen on Yield attributes and Yield of Barley.**

S.No.	Treatment combination	Grain yield (t/ha)	Straw yield (t/ha)	Harvest Index (%)
1	Control (NPK 80-30-20 Kg/ha)	2.77	3.72	42.76
2	<i>Azotobacter</i> + Nitrogen 60 kg/ha	3.47	4.32	44.60
3	<i>Azotobacter</i> + Nitrogen 80 kg/ha	3.49	4.59	43.50
4	<i>Azotobacter</i> + Nitrogen 100 kg/ha	3.26	4.10	44.27
5	<i>Azospirillum</i> + Nitrogen 60 kg/ha	3.40	4.55	42.03
6	<i>Azospirillum</i> + Nitrogen 80 kg/ha	3.70	4.60	44.66
7	<i>Azospirillum</i> + Nitrogen 100 kg/ha	3.67	4.92	42.79
8	<i>Azotobacter</i> + <i>Azospirillum</i> + Nitrogen 60 kg/ha	3.89	5.04	43.66
9	<i>Azotobacter</i> + <i>Azospirillum</i> + Nitrogen 80 kg/ha	4.26	5.42	43.96
10	<i>Azotobacter</i> + <i>Azospirillum</i> + Nitrogen 100 kg/ha	4.31	5.51	43.90
	F-test	S	S	NS
	SEm(±)	0.22	0.21	1.49
	CD (p=0.05)	0.65	0.64	-

**Table 2: Response of Biofertilizers and Nitrogen on economics of Barley.**

S.No.	Treatment combinations	Cost of cultivation (INR/ha)	Gross return (INR/ha)	Net return (INR/ha)	B:C
1	Control (NPK 80-30-20 Kg/ha)	26500	65895.38	39395.38	1.49
2	<i>Azotobacter</i> + Nitrogen 60 kg/ha	27410	80813.18	53403.18	1.95
3	<i>Azotobacter</i> + Nitrogen 80 kg/ha	27647	82527.77	54880.77	1.99
4	<i>Azotobacter</i> + Nitrogen 100 kg/ha	27883	76203.20	48320.20	1.73
5	<i>Azospirillum</i> + Nitrogen 60 kg/ha	27450	80726.20	53276.20	1.94
6	<i>Azospirillum</i> + Nitrogen 80 kg/ha	27687	86276.92	58589.92	2.12
7	<i>Azospirillum</i> + Nitrogen 100 kg/ha	27923	87227.64	59304.64	2.12
8	<i>Azotobacter</i> + <i>Azospirillum</i> + Nitrogen 60 kg/ha	27430	91739.37	64309.37	2.34
9	<i>Azotobacter</i> + <i>Azospirillum</i> + Nitrogen 80 kg/ha	27667	99560.22	71893.22	2.60
10	<i>Azotobacter</i> + <i>Azospirillum</i> + Nitrogen 100 kg/ha	27903	101977.56	74074.56	2.65