

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Environment and Climate Change</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJECC_102863</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Residual Effect of Integrated Nutrient Management in Kharif Maize (Zea mays L.) on Growth and Yield of Toria (Brassica campestris L. var. Toria) during Rabi Season in Odisha</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy> )

### **PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</b>
<b>Compulsory REVISION</b> comments  <b>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)  <b>2. Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)  <b>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b>  <b>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>  <b>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b>  <b>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b>  <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b>	Yes, the manuscript important for scientific  Title of the article suitable  Abstract is comprehensive  Subsections and structure, appropriate  Scientifically correct  some references over the last 10 years	
<b>Minor REVISION</b> comments  <b>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<b>Must follow: MANUSCRIPT GUIDELINES</b> <b>Results &amp; Discussion:</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Tables should be placed inside the text, should be presented as per their appearance in the text.</li> <li>- Double spacing should be maintained throughout the table, including table headings</li> </ul> <b>Reference</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Some reference [not more than 10 years]</li> <li>- See author guideline for Reference style</li> </ul>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Erny Ishartati</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University of Muhammadiyah Malang, Indonesia</b>