

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_102617
Title of the Manuscript:	Agricultural Water Footprint Studies for sustainable agricultural water management -A review
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>I recommend reviewing the abstract considering the following order: Brief context, main objective, methodology, results, general conclusion. What means "critical review"?</p> <p>The introduction must not be divided into topics. In addition, it must clearly present the context, research problem/motivation, objective, methodology and main contributions of the article.</p> <p>The article has a large amount of textual parts that should be referenced, but are not. In addition, the few references present are very old.</p> <p>The organization of the text is confusing. We usually follow a structure equivalent to: introduction, literature review, methodology, results, and conclusion. In literature review articles, the literature review section can be deleted.</p> <p>It is not possible to understand the methodology used in the article.</p> <p>The conclusions are insufficient.</p> <p>It is polite to cite the journal of interest (International Journal of Environment and Climate Change).</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The article presents a relevant topic.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Marcele Elisa Fontana
Department, University & Country	Federal University of Pernambuco, Brazil