

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Biotechnology Journal International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJI_101383
Title of the Manuscript:	Extended-Spectrum Beta-Lactamase and Metallo-Beta-Lactamase Production among <i>Escherichia coli</i> and <i>Klebsiella pneumoniae</i> Strains from Urine of Pregnant Women in Afikpo, Ebonyi State
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalbjournal.com/index.php/BJI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Yes, this manuscript is important for scientific community. Indeed, this manuscript which deals with a subject of urinary tract infection amongst pregnant women, is an important problem of bacterial multiresistance to antibiotics. So It is an interesting subject of public health and current events. 2. Extended-Spectrum Beta-Lactamase and Metallo-Beta-Lactamase Production among <i>Escherichia coli</i> and <i>Klebsiella pneumoniae</i> Strains from Urine of Pregnant Women in Afikpo, Ebonyi State, Nigeria 3. Yes. The abstract of the manuscript is very comprehensive 4. Yes the subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate. This is the IMRAD structure (Introduction, material and methods, results and discussion) 5. References are sufficient and recent. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	Yes language/English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	This is an important original work for the scientific community	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Abdoulaye Makanera
Department, University & Country	Université of Gamal Abdel Nasser of Conakry, Guinea