

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Arts & Social Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJASS_96110
Title of the Manuscript:	A QUALITATIVE STUDY OF MANAGERIAL FACTORS INFLUENCING THE PERFORMANCE OF SHEHIA ADMINISTRATION PRACTICE IN URBAN DISTRICT UNGUJA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalarjass.com/index.php/ARJASS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes.</p> <p>Yes, but 'the' was omitted. Please see tracked changes.</p> <p>It is comprehensive but with too many communication and English language errors. Please see track changes.</p> <p>The subsection Empirical Review has no notes under it. It should be deleted or the notes should be completed.</p> <p>I think the manuscript is scientifically correct.</p> <p>The references are just 13. These are insufficient for an academic study. Please add the list of references to be at least 20. It could be 20 or less is it is professional study.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>More and serious proof reading is required.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>This is a research paper or article but it is not a dissertation as indicated in the abstract of the study.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Maurice Ayuketang Nso
Department, University & Country	The University of Bamenda, Cameroon