

Editor's Comment:

I have reviewed the paper that was submitted.

The paper describes the performance of Shehia administration in Unguja.

I think it is a valuable paper, based on interviews with 44 people.

But the following two points need to be revised before publication.

1)

In the recommendation section, the paper states that it is important for the central government to empower Shehia.

Yes, it should be so!

The important question is, why can't it do that?

I do not know much about politics in Unguja, but if what the paper suggests is possible, there would be no difficulty in democracy.

There must be a reason why the central government cannot empower Shehia, and the background needs to be discussed by the authors.

Perhaps there is the history of democracy , economic problems, and more, that hinders Shehia empowerment.

After discussing these issues, the limitations of this study need to be argued.

2)

This is a qualitative study and does not use statistical data.

SPSS is not needed for descriptive statistics; the explanation of SPSS in section 2.2 is redundant and should be deleted.

Related to this, the last paragraph of p4 and the second paragraph of p10, which contain exactly the same explanation, are redundant.

The explanation on p10 should be deleted.

Editor's Details:

Dr. Shiro Horiuchi
Hannan University, Japan.