

## ReviewForm 1.7

JournalName:	AsianJournalofMedicineandHealth
ManuscriptNumber:	Ms_AJMAH_101513
Titleof theManuscript:	CLINICAL,ENDOSCOPICANDHISTOPATHOLOGICALPATTERNSAMONGPATIENTSWITHDYSPEPSIA.
Typeof the Article	OriginalResearchArticle

### Generalguidelinefor PeerReviewprocess:

Thisjournal'speerreviewpolicystates that **NO** manuscripts should berejected only on the basis of '**lackofN ov elty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajmah.com/index.php/AJMAH/editorial-policy>)

### PART1:ReviewComments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. Yes, the manuscript is very important. 2. Yes 3. Yes 4. Yes 5. Yes 6. Yes.</p> <p><b>Additional suggestions</b> <b>Differ in methods, results and discussion!</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- "Study population: All patients aged 15 years and above with symptoms of dyspepsia..." "Patients aged below 18 years, pregnant patients..."</li> <li>- Results: "...aged between 15 and 91 years"</li> <li>- Discussion: "Dyspeptic symptoms can be seen across all age groups. The patients in this study ranged between 15 years to 91 year..."</li> </ul> <p>I suggest describing the exclusion criteria only once in the methodology.</p> <p>The numeral in the results is incomplete: "Three hundred and fifty-two (35) patients aged..."</p> <p>Placing tables alone on the page, as is the case with table 5.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>1. Yes</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

[ReviewForm 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Kalinca da Silva Oliveira</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Federal University of Health Sciences of Porto Alegre, Brazil</b>