

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Case Reports in Medicine and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJCRMH_102108
Title of the Manuscript:	INTENSIVE CARE MANAGEMENT OF GITELMAN SYNDROME: A RARE CASE
Type of the Article	Case report

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajcrmh.com/index.php/AJCRMH/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1 This manuscript is important to the scientific community in terms of another reminder of the role of electrolytes in the body.</p> <p>2. The title of the article is not correct. Change the title of the article to: Clinical case of successful correction of blood electrolytes and acid-base balance in GITELMAN SYNDROME</p> <p>3. The abstract of the article can be expanded.</p> <p>4. The subsections and structure of the manuscript may remain as they are.</p> <p>5. Manuscript needs significant correction.</p> <p>6. Sufficient number of references.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>1. Change the title of the article to: Clinical case of successful correction of blood electrolytes and acid-base balance in GITELMAN SYNDROME</p> <p>2. Which blood (arterial or venous) is represented in the acid-base balance (ABB) parameters? If acid-base balance parameters reflect arterial blood, it is not an isolated metabolic alkalosis, but a compensated mixed form of metabolic alkalosis and respiratory acidosis. In this case, the author must necessarily indicate the presence of bradypnoea in the patient and increase PaCO₂ to 44-45 mm Hg. Otherwise, the presented ABB values are incorrect. They do not correspond to an isolated form of metabolic alkalosis. There are questions about the methodology of arterial blood sampling, metrological certification of laboratory equipment.</p> <p>3. Table 1 should correct the serum sodium level at 123.4 mmol/l</p> <p>4. The treatment section should include a table 2 comparing baseline indicators with those obtained as a result of the treatment.</p> <p>5. The treatment section should include: Table 2, which compares baseline measures with those obtained as a result of treatment. There should be stages of the study and interpretation of the dynamics of changes in the studied indicators with an explanation.</p> <p>6. Correct the last sentence in the conclusion to: "Administration of ionic supplements improved the patient's quality of life by eliminating basic blood electrolyte deficiencies and correcting ABB indices."</p> <p>7. This article can be accepted for publication only after appropriate serious revision, taking into account the above comments.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Andrey Belousov
Department, University & Country	Laboratory of Applied Nanotechnology of Belousov, Kharkiv National Medical University, Ukraine