

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_102701
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of Initial Two Years of COVID-19 Pandemic on Coverage of Various Types of Vaccines Covered under the National Immunization Schedule in a Tertiary Care Hospital of Rajasthan, India
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljammr.com/index.php/JAMMR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for the scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. The manuscript addresses an important issue of interest to the medical community, which can be further developed and generalised in other centres or institutions.</p> <p>2. Yes</p> <p>3. Yes, however, the authors need to improve the Material and Methods in the abstract.</p> <p>4. Yes</p> <p>5. Yes, but: The authors do not state the sample of their study nor the type of sampling they used to select it. Furthermore, no inclusion or exclusion criteria are defined for this sample. The authors should review these aspects.</p> <p>6. Yes</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>About language and quotations.</p> <p>1. Quotations should be placed before the full stop, comma and semicolon.</p> <p>2. There are problems with the style and wording of the manuscript. Authors should thoroughly review these aspects.</p> <p>3. Figures should be separated by an en dash and not by a hyphen.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Orlando Téllez Almenares
Department, University & Country	University of Medical Sciences of Santiago de Cuba, Cuba