

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_100756
Title of the Manuscript:	TRIPLET: THORACO-OMPHALOPHAGUS CONJOINT TWINS AND MALFORMED FETUS OF SOKOTO, NORTH-WESTERN NIGERIA: CASE REPORT AND REVIEW OF LITERATURE
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljammr.com/index.php/JAMMR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes, it is useful to the scientific community. The importance of early diagnosis of such anomalies and appropriate management is brought to light.</p> <p>Title is suitable.</p> <p>Abstract is comprehensive.</p> <p>The case report has been structured well with good references. Only concern is when they had diagnosed antenatally why the pregnancy was not terminated earlier?</p> <p>No mention is made of any antenatal counselling regarding the diagnosed anomaly. Outcome of the surgery for the separation of twins is not given. No pictures.</p> <p>References are adequate.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	T. Ramanidevi
Department, University & Country	Ramakrishna Medical Centre LLP, India