

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Applied Life Sciences International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JALSI_100336
Title of the Manuscript:	Zea mays (CORN) DIET PROTECTS THE GASTRIC TISSUE AGAINST INDOMETHACIN-INDUCED ULCER IN EXPERIMENTAL RATS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljalsi.com/index.php/JALSI/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes, Important for scientific community.</p> <p><i>Zea mays</i> (CORN) DIET PROTECTS THE GASTRIC TISSUE AGAINST INDOMETHACIN-INDUCED ULCER IN EXPERIMENTAL RATS. It can better written as "Gastro-protective effect of Corn diet against indomethacin induced ulceration in experimental rats".</p> <p>Yes Comprehensive.</p> <p>Yes, Appropriate.</p> <p>Yes, Scientific correct.</p> <p>Sufficient</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes, suitable for scholarly communication.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Author wrote <i>Helicobacter pylori</i> but it should be in italics. 2. Author wrote Under collection of plant: <i>Zea Mays</i> but author should take care off that Genus name must be in capital and species name must be small letter. 3. Under the heading author wrote "Male Wistar rats weighing 132 ±5.0 g were obtained from the Central Animal house". Sentence should be corrected. 4. Under the heading "Animal Grouping and Treatment"- Cimetidine dissolved in water but its solubility is 1.14%. Author should clarify that whether they feed it as suspension of solution. 5. Effect on the Macroscopic Assessment of Indomethacin-Induced Gastric Ulceration: group (1±1.00) Percentage- Sentence should be broken i.e. full stop should be given. 6. Under discussion: Zea mays should be written like this not ze mays. In this study NO concentration was seen to be increased at 75% <i>zea mays</i> diet and also, Cimetidine group. The sentence needs to be changed. 	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sudhansu Ranjan Swain
Department, University & Country	Dr. A. P. J. Abdul Kalam Technical University, India