

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_101927
Title of the Manuscript:	Influence of Biofertilizers and zinc Sulphate on yield and economics of Maize (Zea mays L.)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. I can confirm that the manuscript is valuable for science, helping farmers to optimize the inputs use.</p> <p>2. The title is too general – please specify the research place („- results obtained in...”). Also, pay attention to capital letter – why there are words written with first capital letter?</p> <p>3. Same problem as in the title – the first letter of many words is capital. Sentences with no meaning – „To study the Response of Bio-fertilizers and Zinc Sulphate on growth and yield of Maize.”... or wrong punctuation marks. I don't understand the mentioned values - INR 1,49,119.5/ha? INR 1,08,439.5.00/ha?</p> <p>4. The structure of the manuscript is correct.</p> <p>5. I think that, in order to be correct, the „Materials and Methods” section should offer all the necessary information regarding the treatments. What dose of PSB was used? What dose of <i>Azotobacter</i>?</p> <p>6. To be entirely corrected – in „References” there are more than 3 versions of citations, like they are copied from different sources. Citations in the manuscript also wrong – verify and correlate with the mentioned titles.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>English strongly needs another check – there are wrong words, no meaning sentences/phrases etc. Also correct missing or additional spaces and the capital letters used chaotically.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>How did you calculate all the economic parameters? Pay attentions to figures for gross and net return!</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Horoiias Roxana
Department, University & Country	University of Agronomic Sciences and Veterinary Medicine of Bucharest, Romania