

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_102249
Title of the Manuscript:	Influence of paclobutrazol and potassium nitrate on vegetative growth, leaf nutrient status, flowering and yield of mango (<i>Mangifera indica</i> L.) cv. Amrapali planted under different spacing
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. The manuscript is important for the scientific community only if the author shall include state of the art of the research article of their research specific findings and discoveries that can have far-reaching implications for individuals and society.</p> <p>2. The title is suitable and provides a concise overview of the study's objectives and key elements.</p> <p>3. The abstract is comprehensive, but author need to add 2-3 line about significance and future prospect of this article.</p> <p>4. The introduction should clearly provide the aim and objectives of the study. Also, it should contain the structure of the article.</p> <p>5. The manuscript is scientifically correct but the author should check the grammatical mistakes throughout the paper before final submissions.</p> <p>6. It is recommended to ensure that proper referencing is included in the research article and to consult recent scholarly sources relevant to the topic.</p> <p>Apart from the above mentioned points, I would suggest the author to modify the conclusion section supported with more qualitative findings of the study. Also, please discuss the future scope of the work.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>In my opinion, a careful revision of the language of the manuscript is necessitated.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The authors should consider revising the whole text formatting in the manuscript for any additional spacing, words capitalization, unifying the font, and unnecessary repetitions.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ayush Madan
Department, University & Country	Shobhit Institute of Engineering and Technology, India