

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_102071
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of Plant Growth Regulators on Growth, Flowering, Yield and Vase life of Chrysanthemum (<i>Dendranthema grandiflora</i>) under Prayagraj Agro climatic conditions
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>yes</p> <p>Yes but in the abstract: it is not necessary to cite the title of the research. ' In the abstract: write Brassennosteroid (BA),Triacontanol (TRIA), Gibberelic acid (GA3) In the abstract: in keywords instead of GA3 write Gibberelic acid</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>In Table 1 put unit (cm) where necessary.</p> <p>In Table 2, place the unit (cm, g or days) where necessary</p> <p>In materials and methods: it is not necessary to cite the title of the research.</p> <p>In results and discussion: quote how many plants per treatment were used initially.</p> <p>In results and discussion: Instead of Kumar et al [10] should be Kumar et al [12]</p> <p>References: It may be necessary to standardize sometimes it writes Mujadid A for example and at other times the author's name is complete.</p> <p>In reference number 6 put italics in vitro</p> <p>References numbers 13 to 20 do not appear in the text.</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Augusto Tulmann Neto
Department, University & Country	Sao Paulo State University, Brazil