

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Biotechnology Journal International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJI_101282
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of Total Hydrocarbon Contents in the Leaves of Selected Crops Grown on Crude Oil Polluted Agricultural Soil
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalbjournal.com/index.php/BJI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? Yes, it is important for environmental science Is the title of the article suitable? Yes, it is appropriate Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? It is not fully comprehensive, it can be improved if the answers to the questions How? a because? the work was done. (aims) Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Yes, the structure is appropriate considering what the journal requires. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? It could be said yes. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. No, references are not enough, they must be updated. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> More information should be included in the introduction In the abstract, it is necessary to answer the questions How? and Why? The aims as they are written only answers the question what? It is recommended to describe the modification made to the referenced technique to determine THC Show the comparison of the variables with the appropriate representations (bars for example) in figures 2, 3 and others. Improve the discussion of the results based on the objectives of the work. Improve the content of the conclusions based on the results and objectives of the work, writing short, clear and forceful sentences. 	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Julian Cruz-Olivares
Department, University & Country	Autonomous University of Mexico State, Mexico