

Original Research Article

Knowledge and Beliefs, towards Sickle Cell Disease among Senior High School Students in Tamale Metropolis.

ABSTRACT

Aim: Sickle cell disease is a public health problem with a global spread. As a hereditary disease, sickle cell disease comes with many complications, including anemia. With the increasing incidence of Sickle cell disease in Ghana, the study assessed the knowledge, attitude and belief of students about the disease.

Methods: a descriptive cross-sectional design was used in the study. A systematic sampling technique was used to select 386 participants. A questionnaire was used to gather the data in four selected schools. Data were analyzed using SPSS.

Results: About 47% of the participants were between 18-20 years. The study found that 81% of the participants have heard about Sickle cell disease. A few of them (11.66%) could tell their sickle cell status. Again, 31% believed that sickle cell disease is curable. The majority, 48.70%, would seek spiritual intervention to treat Sickle cell disease. Different attributions were advanced to explain the causes of the sickle cell including the belief that sickle cell disease is transmitted at birth (72.28%), sexual intercourse (20.21%), airborne (3.37%), food (1.5%), and spiritual (13.47%). Again, 88.34% had not been screened for sickle cell disease, and the reasons assigned included not falling sick easily (22.22%), not considering it as necessary (14.53%), and the fear of testing positive (13.11%).

Conclusion: There was fair knowledge of Sickle Cell Disease, with few knowing their status. There were statistically significant associations between class, the course of study, and knowledge of Sickle Cell Disease. Their beliefs include; Sickle Cell Disease is transmitted at birth, through sexual intercourse, airborne, through food, and spiritually.

Keywords: Belief, Knowledge, Sickle Cell Disease, Senior High School,

1.0 Introduction

Sickle cell disease is a genetic blood disorder, and is associated with complications such as severe anemia, chronic pain, acute chest syndrome and stroke [1-4]. These complications are the major causes of hospitalizations, morbidity, and premature mortality among sickle cell patients [5]. The World Health Organization [6] has designated Sickle Cell Disease (SCD) as a global health problem. Estimates since 1992 reveal that SCD is an important public health concern in sub-Saharan Africa [7-8]. Research shows that only 10% of the world's sickle cell disease patients are from the advanced economies [9].

Early childhood mortalities from SCD-related complications ranged between 50% in places with good access to health care, and 90% in places where access to health care is limited [10-11]. Though it is a global problem, sickle cell disease is most common among people with lineages coming from African countries, and there is growing evidence that the presence of malaria in Africa is influencing sickle cell disease, and if not treated, may lead to infant death [12-14].

Pain is very common among sickle cell patients [15], and reflects the type of names given to the disease in parts of West Africa [13]. For instance, in Ghana, SCD is called 'Ahotutuo' by the people who speak Twi, which literally means 'body biting,' 'body chewing,' or 'beaten up' [13]. It is called 'chwechwee' among the Ga people and 'nuidudui' among the Ewe people [16]. In Ghana, more than 2% of the population is born with sickle cell disease every year, and about 25% to 30% are sickle cell carriers [17]. In Ghana, about 5,815 people had SCD in 2010, with an estimated 32.3% growth globally, 46.0% increase in the Sub-Saharan regions, and is projected to increase to 17.9% by 2050 [18].

Good knowledge about SCD is an important strategy for its prevention. A study among university students in Ghana found limited understanding and inadequate knowledge of SCD [19]. A similar study in Nigeria, revealed that 55% of students did not know their genotype and only 18% had some right ideas about SCD [20]. There exists a knowledge gap regardless of the high prevalence of sickle cell carrier status and the current newborn screening program in Ghana [21]. This makes it imperative to educate people on the misconceptions about SCD and make them understand the risks of having a child with SCD. This study sought to explore the knowledge of SHS students on SCD so that gaps if any could be identified for proportionate interventions to be instituted.

2.0 METHODOLOGY

2.1 Research setting and design

The study was conducted in the Tamale Metropolis in the Northern Region of Ghana. The Tamale Metropolis is made up of three constituencies (Tamale Central, Tamale South, and Tamale North constituencies). The metropolis shares boundaries with the Sagnarigu District to the west and north, Mion District to the east, East Gonja to the south, and Central Gonja to the southwest. Geographically, the Metropolis lies between latitudes 9°16 and 9° 34 North and longitudes 0° 36 and 0° 57 West. The Metropolis has a total estimated land size of 646.90180sqkm. The design used in the study was a quantitative research approach. A descriptive cross-sectional study design examined senior high school students' knowledge, beliefs, and attitudes towards sickle cell disease in the Tamale Metropolis.

2.2 Study Population and Sampling

The study population consisted of students from Senior High School (SHS) (year 1 to year 3) in the Tamale Metropolis. The respondents were drawn from each academic year group (SHS 1-3). Simple random sampling by ballot method was used to select four out of the ten public SHS schools in the Tamale Metropolis. These selected schools were Ambariya SHS, Vittin SHS, Business SHS, and Ghana SHS. A proportionate sampling technique was used to ensure fairness and equity in the selection process. In all, 386 participants, consisting of 168 males and 218 females were selected.

2.3 Inclusion and exclusion criteria

Participants were eligible if they were aged 15 to 24 years and were in SHS.

Participants were not eligible if they were below 15 years or above 24 years and were in SHS.

2.4 Recruitment and training of field assistants

The study employed three field assistants who were retrained for the data collection. They were retrained to administer the research questionnaires.

2.5 Data Collection and Analysis

Structured open and closed-ended questionnaires were used to gather responses for this study.

Statistical Package for Social Sciences, version 23 was used in the data analysis. The

results were presented in tables. A determination of the association between variables was done with Chi-square. A statistical significance level of $p < 0.05$ was applied in statistical tests.

2.6 Ethical Approval and Consent to participate

The research protocol was submitted to the University for Development Studies Institutional and Ethical Review Committee. The study was approved by the University for Development Studies Institutional and Ethical Review Committee. All methods in the study were carried out in accordance with the relevant regulations and guidelines of the Ethical Review Committee. All the participants provided Informed consent to participate before the survey questions were sent to them. The survey questions did not capture the personal identities of the participants.

3. Results

3.1 Sociodemographic Characteristics

A total of 386 participants took part in the study, which included 43.52% males and 56.48% females (Table 1). The mean age was 17.94 (SD: 1.97) years. Also, 47% were between 18-20 years representing the highest proportion. Again, 43% of the participants were in Form 1, 36.53% were in Form 2, and the remaining 20.73% were in Form 3. Most of the participants were Muslims (68.65%), 0.78% were traditionalists, and 30.57% professed Christian religion. About 33% of the participants were General Science students, 31.35% were Home Economics students, and 18.91% and 16.32% were offering General Arts and Business, respectively.

Table 1: Distribution of sex, age, Form, religion, and course

| Variable | Frequency | Percent |
|--------------|------------|---------------|
| Sex | | |
| Male | 168 | 43.52 |
| Female | 218 | 56.48 |
| Total | 386 | 100.00 |
| Age | | |
| 15 -17 | 171 | 44.30 |
| 18 -20 | 182 | 47.15 |
| 21 -22 | 21 | 5.44 |
| 23 -24 | 12 | 3.11 |
| Total | 386 | 100.00 |
| Form | | |
| One | 165 | 42.75 |

| | | |
|----------------------------|------------|---------------|
| Two | 141 | 36.53 |
| Three | 80 | 20.73 |
| Total | 386 | 100.00 |
| Religion | | |
| Christian | 118 | 30.65 |
| Islam | 265 | 68.83 |
| AfricanTraditionalReligion | 2 | 0.53 |
| Total | 386 | 100.00 |
| Course | | |
| GeneralScience | 129 | 33.42 |
| HomeEconomics | 121 | 31.35 |
| GeneralArts | 73 | 18.91 |
| Business | 63 | 16.32 |
| Total | 386 | 100.00 |

Source:Field data,2020

3.2 Distribution of age, sex, and course of study

Regarding the sex distribution, the majority (56.48%) of the students interviewed were females, with the remaining about 44% reported as males. The highest number (33.42%) of students interviewed pursued General Science, 31.35% were Home Economics students, 18.91% were General Arts students, and the remaining 16.32% offered Business (Table 2).

Table 2: Distribution of age, sex and course across selected schools

| Variables | Bisco | | Ghanasco | | Ambariya | | Vittin | | Pooled | |
|------------------------|------------|--------------|------------|--------------|-----------|--------------|-----------|--------------|------------|---------------|
| | Fre | % | Freq. | % | Freq. | % | Freq. | % | Freq. | % |
| Course of study | | | | | | | | | | |
| Home Economics | 41 | 10.62 | 40 | 10.36 | 25 | 6.48 | 15 | 3.89 | 121 | 31.35 |
| General Science | 40 | 10.36 | 39 | 10.10 | 30 | 7.77 | 20 | 5.18 | 129 | 33.42 |
| General Arts | 18 | 4.66 | 22 | 5.70 | 23 | 5.96 | 10 | 2.59 | 73 | 18.91 |
| Business | 20 | 5.18 | 21 | 5.44 | 20 | 5.18 | 2 | 0.52 | 63 | 16.32 |
| Total | 119 | 30.83 | 122 | 31.61 | 98 | 25.39 | 47 | 12.18 | 386 | 100.00 |

Source:Field data,2020

3.3 Level of Knowledge on Sickle Cell Disease among Participants

About 81% of the participants have heard of SCD, and the majority of them, 38.08%, heard it from their friends (Table 3). A few (11.66%) could tell

their sickle cell status, and the majority (88.34%) did not know their status. About 44% were of the genotype AA, 34.88% were of the genotype SS, 18.60% were of the genotype AS, and 2.33% were of the genotype SC. About 7% of the participants responded that they had family members who suffered from SCD, and 59.22% responded none of their family members had SCD. The rest of the 33.51% were not sure if any family members had SCD. Also, 15% knew people outside their families who had SCD, 67.27% did not know anyone outside their family having SCD, and 17.92% were not sure if they knew anyone outside their family who had the condition.

Table 3: Knowledge of sickle cell disease

| Students' knowledge of SCD | Frequency | Percent |
|---|------------|---------------|
| Have you ever heard of SCD? | | |
| Yes | 313 | 81.09 |
| No | 73 | 18.91 |
| Total | 386 | 100.00 |
| If yes, from where? | | |
| Relatives | 31 | 9.60 |
| Friends | 123 | 38.08 |
| Health personnel | 97 | 30.03 |
| Media | 48 | 14.86 |
| Others | 24 | 7.43 |
| Total | 386 | 100.00 |
| Do you know your status? | | |
| Yes | 45 | 11.66 |
| No | 341 | 88.34 |
| Total | 386 | 100.00 |
| If yes, which of the following is it? | | |
| AA | 19 | 44.19 |
| SS | 15 | 34.88 |
| AS | 8 | 18.60 |
| SC | 1 | 2.33 |
| Total | 386 | 100.00 |
| Does anyone in your family have SCD? | | |
| Yes | 28 | 7.27 |
| No | 228 | 59.22 |
| Not sure | 129 | 33.51 |
| Total | 386 | 100.00 |
| Do you know anyone outside the family? | | |
| Yes | 57 | 14.81 |
| No | 259 | 67.27 |
| Not sure | 69 | 17.92 |
| Total | 386 | 100.00 |

Source: Field data, 2020

3.4 Association between sociodemographic characteristics and level of knowledge of SCD

Pearson's chi-

square test of association was conducted to examine any statistical associations between whether the participants have heard about SCD and the characteristics mentioned (Table 4). Out of 386 participants, 81.09% responded in the affirmative that they have heard about SCD across age groups. However, the statistical association test was not significant ($\chi^2=2.86$; P -value = 0.413), which indicates that there was no statistical association between having heard about SCD and the ages of the participants. The results for sex, form and the various courses of study are similar to age. Regarding the distribution of participants who have heard about the disease by sex, form, and courses, 44% were female, with most of them in Form One (33.16%) and pursuing Home Economics (26.17%). In terms of statistical association among the variables, Pearson's chi2 test outcome was insignificant in all four (4) tests, implying no associations between sex, form, and courses; and having heard about SCD.

Table 4. Knowledge of students on the sickle cell disease and student characteristics

| Variables | Have you ever heard of SCD? | | | | | | Pearson's chi2 (p-value) |
|---------------|-----------------------------|--------------|-----------|--------------|------------|---------------|--------------------------------|
| | Yes | | No | | Pooled | | |
| | Freq. | % | Freq. | % | Freq. | % | |
| Age | | | | | | | $\chi^2 = 2.86$ |
| 15 – 17 | 144 | 37.31 | 27 | 6.99 | 171 | 44.30 | p-value = 0.413 |
| 18 – 20 | 144 | 37.31 | 38 | 9.84 | 182 | 47.15 | |
| 21 & 22 | 15 | 3.89 | 6 | 1.55 | 21 | 5.44 | |
| 23 & 24 | 10 | 2.59 | 2 | 0.52 | 12 | 3.11 | |
| Total | 313 | 81.09 | 73 | 18.91 | 386 | 100.00 | |
| Sex | | | | | | | $\chi^2 = 2.29$ |
| Male | 142 | 36.79 | 26 | 6.74 | 168 | 43.52 | p-value = 0.130 |
| Female | 171 | 44.30 | 47 | 12.18 | 218 | 56.48 | |
| Total | 313 | 81.09 | 73 | 18.91 | 386 | 100.00 | |
| Form | | | | | | | $\chi^2 = 3.49$ |
| One | 128 | 33.16 | 37 | 9.59 | 165 | 42.75 | p-value = 0.174 |
| Two | 115 | 29.79 | 26 | 6.74 | 141 | 36.53 | |
| Three | 70 | 18.13 | 10 | 2.59 | 80 | 20.73 | |
| Total | 313 | 81.09 | 73 | 18.91 | 386 | 100.00 | |
| Course | | | | | | | $\chi^2 = 5.86$ |

| | | | | | | | |
|-----------------|------------|--------------|-----------|--------------|------------|---------------|--------------------|
| Home Economics | 101 | 26.17 | 20 | 5.18 | 121 | 31.35 | p-value = 0.118 |
| General Science | 96 | 24.87 | 33 | 8.55 | 129 | 33.42 | |
| General Arts | 63 | 16.32 | 10 | 2.59 | 73 | 18.91 | |
| Business | 53 | 13.73 | 10 | 2.59 | 63 | 16.32 | |
| Total | 313 | 81.09 | 73 | 18.91 | 386 | 100.00 | |

Source: Field data, 2020

3.5 Knowledge of cure across age, sex, and course

The results indicate that 31% thought SCD is curable. Most of the participants who indicated that SCD was curable were aged 18-20 (17.62%), were female (19.43%) and were doing Home Economics (11.40%). For those who stated that the disease was not curable, again, most of them were aged 18-20 (14.25%), female (17.62%), and pursuing General Science (12.44%). In terms of statistical association, there was no statistical association between age and SCD being curable on the one hand and sex and the disease being curable on the other hand (Table 5). However, there was a statistical association between the course of study and the perception of whether the condition is curable ($\chi^2=18.77$, P-value=0.027).

Table 5: Knowledge on cure across age, sex, and course

| Variables | Do you think the disease is curable? | | | | | | | | Pearson's chi2 (p-value) |
|-----------------|--------------------------------------|-------------|------------|-------------|-----------|-------------|------------|--------------|--------------------------------|
| | Yes | | No | | Not sure | | Don't know | | |
| | Freq. | % | Freq. | % | Freq. | % | Freq. | % | |
| Age | | | | | | | | | $\chi^2=13.74$ |
| 15 - 17 | 39 | 10.10 | 54 | 13.99 | 36 | 9.33 | 42 | 10.88 | p-value = 0.132 |
| 18 - 20 | 68 | 17.62 | 55 | 14.25 | 27 | 6.99 | 32 | 8.29 | |
| 21 & 22 | 8 | 2.07 | 5 | 1.30 | 5 | 1.30 | 3 | 0.78 | |
| 23 & 24 | 6 | 1.55 | 2 | 0.52 | 2 | 0.52 | 2 | 0.52 | |
| Total | 121 | 31.3 | 116 | 30.0 | 70 | 18.1 | 79 | 20.47 | |
| | | 5 | | 5 | | 3 | | | |
| Sex | | | | | | | | | $\chi^2=4.16$ |
| Male | 46 | 11.92 | 48 | 12.44 | 36 | 9.33 | 38 | 9.84 | p-value = 0.244 |
| Female | 75 | 19.43 | 68 | 17.62 | 34 | 8.81 | 41 | 10.62 | |
| Total | 121 | 31.3 | 116 | 30.0 | 70 | 18.1 | 79 | 20.47 | |
| | | 5 | | 5 | | 3 | | | |
| Course | | | | | | | | | $\chi^2=18.77$ |
| Home Economics | 44 | 11.40 | 31 | 8.03 | 20 | 5.18 | 26 | 6.74 | p-value = 0.027 |
| General Science | 26 | 6.74 | 48 | 12.44 | 24 | 6.22 | 31 | 8.03 | |
| General Arts | 33 | 8.55 | 19 | 4.92 | 13 | 3.37 | 8 | 2.07 | |
| Business | 18 | 4.66 | 18 | 4.66 | 13 | 3.37 | 14 | 3.63 | |
| Total | 121 | 31.3 | 116 | 30.0 | 70 | 18.1 | 79 | 20.47 | |
| | | 5 | | 5 | | 3 | | | |

Source: Field data, 2020

3.6 Knowledge of prevention across age, sex, and course

Results show that 52% of the participants thought SCD was preventable. Those who were neither sure nor knew about the preventable disease constitute 14% each. For those participants who perceived SCD as preventable, most of them were aged 18-20 (24.35%), were female (29.79%), and were doing Home Economics (17.88). Participants who perceived SCD to be unpreventable mainly were aged 18-20 (10.10%), also female (11.92%) but were Science students (8.55%). Regarding any statistical associations among the variables, the P-values from all three tests indicate no statistical associations at the 5% level (Table 6).

Table 6: Knowledge of prevention across age, sex, and course

| Variables | Do you think the disease is preventable? (n = 386) | | | | | | | | Pearson's chi2 (p-value) |
|-----------------|--|--------------|-----------|--------------|-----------|--------------|------------|--------------|--------------------------|
| | Yes | | No | | Not sure | | Don't know | | |
| | Freq. | % | Freq. | % | Freq. | % | Freq. | % | |
| Age | | | | | | | | | $\chi^2=7.88$ |
| 15 -17 | 87 | 22.54 | 35 | 9.07 | 23 | 5.96 | 26 | 6.74 | p-value = 0.546 |
| 18 -20 | 94 | 24.35 | 39 | 10.10 | 28 | 7.25 | 21 | 5.44 | |
| 21 &22 | 11 | 2.85 | 2 | 0.52 | 3 | 0.78 | 5 | 1.30 | |
| 23 &24 | 9 | 2.33 | 1 | 0.26 | 0 | 0.00 | 2 | 0.52 | |
| Total | 201 | 52.07 | 77 | 19.95 | 54 | 13.99 | 54 | 13.99 | |
| Sex | | | | | | | | | $\chi^2=1.92$ |
| Male | 86 | 22.28 | 31 | 8.03 | 28 | 7.25 | 23 | 5.96 | p-value = 0.589 |
| Female | 115 | 29.79 | 46 | 11.92 | 26 | 6.74 | 31 | 8.03 | |
| Total | 201 | 52.07 | 77 | 19.95 | 54 | 13.99 | 54 | 13.99 | |
| Course | | | | | | | | | $\chi^2=9.31$ |
| Home Economics | 69 | 17.88 | 19 | 4.92 | 14 | 3.63 | 19 | 4.92 | p-value = 0.409 |
| General Science | 59 | 15.28 | 33 | 8.55 | 17 | 4.40 | 20 | 5.18 | |
| General Arts | 36 | 9.33 | 16 | 4.15 | 13 | 3.37 | 8 | 2.07 | |
| Business | 37 | 9.59 | 9 | 2.33 | 10 | 2.59 | 7 | 1.81 | |
| Total | 201 | 52.07 | 77 | 19.95 | 54 | 13.99 | 54 | 13.99 | |

Source: Field data, 2020

3.7 Beliefs about Sickle Cell Disease

The results show that the majority (72.28%) of the participants believed that the SCD is transmitted at birth. Interestingly, 58% thought that SCD is a problem in Ghana. The majority (61.66%) stated that SCD is not

transmitted spiritually. Again, 48.70% indicated they would seek spiritual intervention when they are confronted with SCD. Additionally 34% agreed that having a child with SCD can be challenging and scary (Table 7).

Table 7: Students' Beliefs about SCD

| Summary of beliefs of students | Frequency | Percent |
|---|------------------|----------------|
| SCD transmission | | |
| Sexual intercourse | 78 | 20.21 |
| Birth | 279 | 72.28 |
| Airborne | 13 | 3.37 |
| Food | 6 | 1.55 |
| Others | 10 | 2.59 |
| Is SCD a problem in Ghana | | |
| Yes | 225 | 58.29 |
| No | 43 | 11.14 |
| Not sure | 65 | 16.84 |
| Don't know | 53 | 13.73 |
| Is the transmission of SCD spiritual? | | |
| Yes | 52 | 13.47 |
| No | 238 | 61.66 |
| Not sure | 54 | 13.99 |
| Don't know | 42 | 10.88 |
| Would you seek spiritual intervention? | | |
| Yes | 188 | 48.70 |
| No | 149 | 38.60 |
| Not sure | 49 | 12.69 |
| Having a child with SCD could be scary | | |
| Strongly disagree | 52 | 13.47 |
| Disagree | 79 | 20.47 |
| Don't care | 43 | 11.14 |
| Agree | 132 | 34.20 |
| Strongly agree | 80 | 20.73 |
| Total | 386 | 100.00 |

Source: Field data, 2020

4.DISCUSSION

It was acknowledged in this study that 88.84% of the participants did not know of their SCD status. This demonstrates

a low level of knowledge among the participants whom may soon transition into adults and procreate subsequently. This ties in well with Ogamdi [22] and Singh et al. [23] findings which suggest that majority of their participants, mostly students, were unaware of their SCD status. Though this may be the case for the current cohort of study participants, other studies such as Acharya et al. [24] and Ameade et al. [25] revealed that over half of married couples were aware of their genotypes of hemoglobin.

These differences in knowledge could partially be explained by the differences in the sample size and the fact that some of the participants could have gone for test when they were getting married. Notwithstanding these differences, the statistics portrayed in these studies remains significant and disturbing, as knowledge about carrier status would guide individuals to make good decisions when it comes to making decisions concerning their reproductive choices. The use of the HBMin this study demonstrated that it could be relied on to provide good information for sickle cell screening programs. Some previous studies have also reported little or no knowledge of SCD, even among individuals whom may be at risk of SCDs.

The observation of knowledge about SCDs in the current study, combined with other studies by Acharya et al. [24], Ameade et al. [25], and Boyd et al. [26] gives enough evidence that most populations of reproductive age are not adequately informed on SCDs. This observation is disturbing as most of these populations would start childbearing. Adequate information about SCD its incidence, and the inheritance patterns among individuals within the reproductive stage are considered instrumental in decisions concerning marriage and childbearing [27].

Belief on Sickle Cell Disease among Study Participants

The current study noted that 88.34% had not been screened for SCD. Reasons for not screening include not falling sick easily, not considering it as necessary, fear of testing positive, and other reasons. Belief regarding the causes of SCD point to the fact that it is an inherited disorder [28-29]. However, other perceived causes, as noted among the participants in the current study, included airborne (3.37%) and food (1.5%). This observation reflects the misconceptions and the shared lack of knowledge of SCD among the participants.

It rings the clarion call for stakeholders to address the observed misconceptions, especially among students who also form an important part of the general population. Alghamdi et al. [30] highlighted some misconcep

tions about SCDs in a related study, indicating that some participants reported fever, infection, and high altitudes believed to be responsible for SCDs. Alghamdi et al. [30] equally added that foods, including favabeans, lentils, falafel made with favabeans, and viganuts, were associated with SCDs by some participants.

Though adolescents may have several years to live before dying [31], if these misconceptions on SCDs, as noted among the current study participants, are not addressed, it may go a long way to influence their health-seeking behaviors negatively. Another study in Ghana has shown a significant relationship between religious beliefs and medical care. Dennis Antwi et al. [32] and Cotton et al. [33] have indicated that young adults with SCD typically attended religious events, believed in God, prayed regularly, and had elevated levels of spirituality.

There was a significant association between sex (p -value = 0.004), religion (p -value = 0.004) and belief that SCD is a problem in Ghana. These findings are important for prevention programs. It is worth noting that another prevention planning measure relates to demographic differences. Results

reveal no significant association between age, sex, course, form, and ever having sickle cell screening. There was a significant association between sex (p -value = 0.030) and making friends with people with SCD. Many of the participants (43.59%) could not provide any reason for not testing. Again, 13.11% were scared of testing positive, 14.53% considered it unnecessary to screen, and 22.22% said they did not test because they don't fall sick easily. Consistent with similar findings from other studies [34; 35], females in this study had more knowledge about SCD than males. Looking at the hereditary nature of SCD, both sexes must know about the disease, especially the reproductive consequences.

Conclusion

The study concluded that most of the study participants have heard about SCDs, with only a few knowing their SCD status. There was an observed statistically significant association between the level of students (Form) and knowledge of SCD, the course of study, and whether they knew SCD or not. The beliefs of students on sickle cell disease among the participants included the belief that SCD is transmitted at birth (72.28%), sexual intercourse (20.21%), airborne (3.37%), food (1.5%), and spiritual as a mode of transmission (13.47%). There were significant associations between mode of transmission and sex ($\chi^2 = 13.31$, p -value = 0.010) and mode of transmission and course of study ($\chi^2 = 22.02$, p -

value=0.037). Also, most of the participants had not been screened for SCD, and the reasons assigned included not falling sick easily and not considering the fear.

Declaration

Ethical Approval and Consent to participate

The research protocol was submitted to the University for Development Studies Institutional and Ethical Review Committee. The study was approved by the University for Development Studies Institutional and Ethical Review Committee. All methods in the study were carried out in accordance with the relevant regulations and guidelines of the Ethical Review Committee. All the participants provided Informed consent to participate before the survey questions were sent to them. The survey questions did not capture the personal identities of the participants.

References

1. Rees D.C., Williams TN, Gladwin MT. Sick cell disease. *Lancet*. 2010;376(9757):2018–49. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(10\)61029-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(10)61029-X).
2. Sims, A.M., Bonsu, K.O., Urbonya, R. *et al.* Diagnosis patterns of sickle cell disease in Ghana: a secondary analysis. *BMC Public Health* **21**, 1719 (2021). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-021-11794-6>
3. Moumni, I., Ben Mustapha, M., Ben Mansour, I., Zoraï, A., Douzi, K., Sassi, S., Chaouachi, D., Mellouli, F., Bejaoui, M., & Abbes, S. Fetal Hemoglobin in Tunisian Sickle Cell Disease Patient: Relationship with Polymorphic Sequences Cis to the β -Globin Gene. *Indian journal of hematology & blood transfusion: an official journal of Indian Society of Hematology and Blood Transfusion*, 32(1), 114–119. 2016. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12288-015-0504-7>
4. Aljabry MS, Alhoshan A, Alrawaf F, Alhowidi A, Alsahli A, Alrubaia S., et al. Prevalence of glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase deficiency and sickle-cell trait among blood donors: Revisited after 10 years in the same institute. *J Appl Hematol*; 10:84-7. 2019.
5. Fowora M.A. Adherence to Self-Care Management of Sickle Cell Disease Among Caregivers. 2016. <https://scholarworks.waldenu.edu/dissertations>.
6. World Health Organization. Sick cell disease and other haemoglobin Disorders, 2011. <http://www.who.int/mediacentre/factsheets/fs308/en/> (accessed on 6th June, 2017).
7. Ezenwa, M.O., Dennis-Antwi, J.A., Dallas, C.M. et al. The Crisis of Sick Cell Disease in Africa from Insights into Primary Prevention in Ghana and Nigeria: Notes from the Field. *J Immigrant Minority Health* **23**, 871–878 (2021). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10903-021-01186-8>
8. Modell B, Darlison M. Global epidemiology of haemoglobin disorders and derived service indicators. *Bull World Health Organ* 2008;**86**:480-7. [doi:10.2471/BLT.06.036673](https://doi.org/10.2471/BLT.06.036673) [pmid:https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18568278](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18568278)

9. Aygun B. and Odame, I. "A global perspective on sickle cell disease," *Pediatric Blood & Cancer*, vol. 59, no. 2, pp. 386–390, 2012.
10. Asare, E. V., Wilson, I., Kuma, A. A. B., DeiAdomakoh, Y., Sey, F., and Olayemi, E. Ghana Burden of Sickle Cell Disease in Ghana: The Korle-Bu Experience. *Advances in Hematology*, 2018. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2018/6161270>.
11. Grosse, S.D., Odame, I., Atrash, H.K., Amendah, D.D., Piel, F.B., & Williams, T.N. Sickle cell disease in Africa: An neglected cause of early childhood mortality. *American Journal of Preventive Medicine*, 41(6), S398–S405. 2011.
12. World Health Organization. 59th World Health Assembly, Provisional Agenda Item 11.4, pp. 1-5. 2006. Geneva: WHO.
13. Anie, K.A., & Green, J. Psychological therapies for sickle cell disease and pain. *The Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*, 5(5), 1916. 2015.
14. Oguntoye O.O, Ndububa D.A., Yusuf M., Bolarinwa R.A., and Ayoola O.O. "Clinical and Biochemical Manifestations of Severe Sickle Cell Anemia in Adult Patients in Steady State in Ile-Ife, Nigeria," *Sudan Journal of Medical Sciences*, vol. 14, issue no. 1, pages 52–63. 2019. DOI 10.18502/sjms.v14i1.4381.
15. Edwards, C.L., Scales, M.T., Loughlin, C., Bennett, G.G., Harris-peterson, S., DeCastro, L.M., Whitworth, E., Abrams, M., Feliu, M., Johnson, S., Wood, M., Harrison, O., & Killough, A. A Brief Review of the Pathophysiology, Associated Pain and Psychosocial Issues in Sickle Cell Disease, 12(3), 171–179. 2005.
16. Konotey-Ahulu F.I.D. The Sickle Cell Disease Patient. *www.thelancet.com* Vol 365. 2005.
17. Ohene-Frempong, K., Oduro, J., Tetteh, H., & Nkrumah, F. Screening newborns for sickle cell disease in Ghana. *Pediatrics*, 121(Supplement 2), S120-S121. 2008. State of Sickle Cell Disease 2016 Report.pdf
18. Piel, F. B., Patil, A. P., Howes, R. E., Nyangiri, O. A., Gething, P. W., Dewi, M., Hay, S. I. *Global Epidemiology of Sickle Haemoglobin in Neonates: A Contemporary Geostatistical Model-based Map and Population Estimates. The Lancet*, 381(9861), 42– 151. 2013. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(12)61229-X.
19. Boadu, I., & Adoah, T. *Knowledge, Beliefs, and Attitude towards Sickle Cell Disease among University Students*; 2018. DOI:10.4172/2161-0711.1000593.
20. Bazuaye, G.N., and Olayemi, E.E. Knowledge and Attitude of Senior Secondary School Students in Benin City Nigeria to Sickle Cell Disease, *World Journal of Medical Sciences* 4 (1): 46-49, 2009. ISSN 1817-3055
21. Paula, T. R., Monica L. L., Daniel C. U., Lesley A. E., Onike R., and Andrew D. C. Attitudes of Ghanaian women toward genetic testing for sickle cell trait, *International Journal of Gynecology and Obstetrics* 115: 264–268. 2011.

22. Ogamdi, S. African American students' awareness of sickle cell disease. *J. Am. Coll. Health* 42(5): 234-236. 1994.
23. Singh, H., Dulhani, N., Kumar, B. N., Singh, P., & Tiwari, P. Effective control of sickle cell disease with hydroxyurea therapy. *Indian journal of pharmacology*, 42(1), 32–35. 2010. <https://doi.org/10.4103/0253-7613.62409>.
24. Acharya K, Lang C. W, Ross L. F. A pilot study to explore knowledge, attitudes, and beliefs about sickle cell trait and disease. *J Natl Med Assoc* 101:1163-1172. 2009.
25. Ameade, E. P. K., Mohammed, B. S., Helegbe, G. K., Yakubu, S. *Sickle cell gene transmission: Do public servants in Tamale, Ghana, have the right knowledge and attitude to curb it?* *Open J Preven Med* 5:299. 2015.
26. Boyd, J. H., Watkins, A. R., Price, C. L., Fleming, F., & DeBaun, M. R. *Inadequate community knowledge about Sickle Cell Disease among African-American women.* *Journal of the National Medical Association* 97(1), 62-67. 2005.
27. Boyd, J. H., Macklin, E. A., Strunk, R. C., DeBaun M. R., *Asthma is associated with increased mortality in individuals with sickle cell anemia* *Hematological*, 92, pp. 1115-1118. 2007.
28. Hollister, M. C., & Anema, M. G. *Health behavior models and oral health: A review.* *Journal of Dental Hygiene*, 78(3), 1-8. 2004.
29. Access, O. *Knowledge and attitude of secondary school students in Jos, Nigeria on sickle cell disease.* 86 88, 1–9. 2013. <https://doi.org/10.11604/pamj.2013.15.127.2712>.
30. Alghamdi, S. Y. S., Alzahrani, F. A. M., Alzahrani, S. A. S., & Albishi, A. M. *Perceptions about Sickle Cell Disease among Adults in Albaha Region: A Cross-sectional Study.* 70(January), 357–363. 2018. <https://doi.org/10.12816/0043105>
31. Atorkey, P., Doku, P. N., Danquah, S. A., & Akwei, M. *No Title.* 25(2), 180–195. 2017.
32. Dennis Antwi, J. A., Ohene Frempong, K., Anie, K. A., Dzikunu, H., Agyare, V. A., Boadu, R. O., Antwi, J. S., Asafo, M. K., Anim Boamah, O., et al., *Relation Between Religious Perspectives and Views on Sickle Cell Disease Research and Associated Public Health Interventions in Ghana*; 2018. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10897-018-0296-7>.
33. Cotton, S., Grosseohme, D., Rosenthal, S. L., McGrady, M. E., Roberts, Y. H., Hines, J., Yi, M. S., & Tsevat, J. *Religious/spiritual coping in adolescents with sickle cell disease: a pilot study.* *Journal of Pediatric Hematology/Oncology*, 31(5), 313–318. 2009. <https://doi.org/10.1097/MPH.0b013e31819e40e3>.
34. Stewart, K. A. *An examination of African American college students' knowledge and attitudes regarding Sickle Cell Disease and Sickle Cell Disease carrier testing: A mixed methods study.* (Doctoral Dissertation). Retrieved from ProQuest Dissertations and Theses Database (PQTD). (UMI No 3286404). 2007.
35. Treadwell, M. J., McClough, L., & Vichinsky, E. *Using qualitative and quantitative strategies to*

evaluate knowledge and perceptions about Sickle Cell Disease and Sickle Cell Trait. Journal of the National Medical Association, 98(5), 704-710. 2006.

UNDER PEER REVIEW