

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Pregnancy and Childbirth
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJPCB_102062
Title of the Manuscript:	Knowledge and Beliefs, towards Sickle Cell Disease among Senior High School Students in Tamale Metropolis.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalajpcb.com/index.php/AJPCB/editorial-policy>

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Thank you for giving me the opportunity to review this interesting study "Knowledge and Beliefs, towards Sickle Cell Disease among Senior High School Students in Tamale Metropolis".</p> <p>However, I have some comments and recommendations:</p> <p>Comments:</p> <p>Title:- Is appropriate for the content of the article.</p> <p>Abstract:- Represents a suitable summary of the work.</p> <p>Article content:- The methods and results have been well explained.</p> <p>Conclusions:- Are justified on the basis of the study.</p> <p>Recommendations:</p> <p>Discussion:- Mention the limitations of the study.</p> <p>References:- Stick to the format of the journal.</p> <p>General:- Revise language, grammar and syntax.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Akmal El-Mazny
Department, University & Country	Cairo University, Egypt