

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJFAR_102495
Title of the Manuscript:	Gonad Maturity and Fecundity of Indian Mackerel (<i>Rastellinger kanagurta</i>) in North Gorontalo Waters, Indonesia
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajfar.com/index.php/AJFAR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> The study is of great importance as long as it is used for the establishment of closures The title correspond to the methodology and results The abstract is consistent with the writing The structure of the article is appropriate. It would be convenient to continue the study applying histological techniques References are sufficient and recent 	<p>In the introduction it is pointed out that Indian mackerel is one of the most caught fish, but it is not quantified so the importance of the work is not seen. It is important that a summary table is prepared indicating the length and weight of the organisms captured and their fertility rate according to the time of year. There is no talk of the importance of work for the establishment of Vedas and responsible fishing policies</p>
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>The language is clear</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Draw up tables showing the number of fish by sex, the weight of the gonads and the number of eggs for each time of capture</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Eduardo Alfredo Zarza Meza
Department, University & Country	Universidad Veracruzana, Mexico