

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Environment & Ecology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEE_100854
Title of the Manuscript:	Eco-design design strategy: Case study Biomimicry Approach in Design Product Materials
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajee.com/index.php/AJEE/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes; The paper may be accepted as it represents a good topic of research and is executed carefully. -The paper may be accepted after some editorial correction in the manuscript as uniformity is not maintained in the providing of Figures and Tables.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>No (Need to re-Write)</p> <p>No; poor coherency, Difference</p> <p>No.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The Number of references are not enough as a review paper. The manuscript needed more citations. Reference 1, and 16 very old sources. Please use current published article papers Figure 1 hasn't sources. Please cite a proper sources The quality of all figures are poor. Please use 300dpi resolution, re-write figure texts as the body of the manuscript text format. The Author provided all Figures and Tables from Snapshot/ poor quality sources. Please re-write, and re-draw it to increase readability The manuscript contains 12 figures, it should be significantly reduced in order to have readers. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>English writing needs fundamental correction</p> <p>English writing needs to be improved. Many sentences formations are incomplete and have grammatical errors especially in Introduction. For this, help from any English language online assistant like 'grammar' can be obtained.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Asnake Ketema Mengistu
Department, University & Country	Dire Dawa University Institute of Technology, Ethiopia