

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_102746
Title of the Manuscript:	Satisfaction with Life in Elderly People Living in Rural and Urban Areas of Guntur District, Andhra Pradesh
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajaees.com/index.php/AJAEES/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes.</p> <p>Yes.</p> <p>Yes.</p> <p>Partly.</p> <p>Yes.</p> <p>No.</p> <p>In general, the manuscript is well-written. Suggestions for further improvement of the paper are given below:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Introduction: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1.1. Many statements in this section need to be supported by relevant evidence. 1.2. Vancouver style is the preferred system for citing the literature by the journal. 2. Material and Methods: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 2.1. The authors should indicate which statistical package was used to process the data obtained. 2.2. The level of significance should be stated in this section also. 3. Results are presented clearly and comprehensively. 4. Discussion is presented along with the results (which is possible), however, it will highly improve the quality of the paper if the discussion is a separate section. <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 4.1. Are there any limitations of the study and directions for further research? 5. References should be arranged according to the Vancouver style (Arabic numerals in the order of their appearance in the text of the manuscript). 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Considering the merits of this manuscript, I recommend publishing the paper.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nadya Avramova
Department, University & Country	Medical University, Bulgaria