

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Food Science Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AFSJ_100150
Title of the Manuscript:	EFFECT OF ANNEALING, HEAT MOISTURE TREATMENT AND CITRIC ACID MODIFICATIONS ON THE CHEMICAL COMPOSITION, X-RAY DIFFRACTION PATTERN AND SCANNING MORPHOLOGY OF STARCHES FROM COCOYAM (COLOCASIA ESCULENTA AND XANTHOSOMA SAGITTIFOLIUM)
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalafsj.com/index.php/AFSJ/editorial-policy>

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. This topic is interesting for the development of food ingredients.</p> <p>2. Your title is too long, you should reduce it, I suggest removing the word "CHEMICAL COMPOSITION, X-RAY DIFFRACTION PATTERN AND SCANNING MORPHOLOGY" to change to "EFFECT OF ANNEALING, HEAT MOISTURE TREATMENT AND CITRIC ACID MODIFICATIONS ON THE PROPERTIES OF STARCHES FROM COCOYAM (COLOCASIA ESCULENTA AND XANTHOSOMA SAGITTIFOLIUM)</p> <p>3. Abstract need revision in result on this study</p> <p>4. Need minor revision.</p> <p>5. Need mayor revision.</p> <p>6. Introduction still uses old journals, adds citations from the latest journals of your paper. The problem statement is not clear.</p> <p>7. The method must be equipped with a design of experiment (DOE), if data processing uses statistical methods such as your result.</p> <p>8. Equation should be numbered.</p> <p>9. If used a common method, just review from the latest journals that used the same method.</p> <p>10. Result and Discussion: if you use a common method, just review from the latest journals that use the same method (see article reviewed).</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>1. need revision of scientific language with proof reading</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Acceptable for major Revision</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Aniek Sri Handayani
Department, University & Country	Institute of Technology, Indonesia