

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Engineering Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JERR_101655
Title of the Manuscript:	Proactive Process Safety Management - Key to the Prevention of Incidents in Industry
Type of the Article	Case study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljerr.com/index.php/JERR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> The manuscript is very important for scientific community because it highlight the importance of preventive approaches as a key to safe industries. It also Identifies dangers and preventing their occurrence as the author suggested will save community and its dwellers. The application of the research findings will also save life of workers and properties for owners. It can also serve as a reference for other related scientific researches. Yes Yes, as it clearly explains the topic, provided comprehensive examples, hazards identification processes, barriers, analysis and prevention. But in addition using more technical content and richful relevant terms should be use in developing the abstract. Yes Yes The references are sufficient but some of the references are morethan 3 decades back which are relatively obsolete. The author should use most updated relevant and certified sources for referencing 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	Yes	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	The author, through the use of the Swiss cheese mode, was able to identify the cause of both incident and how proactive approach can save an industry from avoidable accident. The research will be very useful for scientific for public and industries.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Lasmar Garba
Department, University & Country	Lovely Professional University, India