

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_102001
Title of the Manuscript:	PURCHASING BEHAVIOUR AND PROBLEMS FACED BY FARMERS AND DEALERS RELATED TO INSECTICIDE
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljeai.com/index.php/JEAI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	Yes, it is important on understanding the factors that influence farmers' decisions while purchasing insecticides and identifies the problems they faced in the study area. Yes Yes Yes Yes, but it is important to explain the educational background of the farmer respondents, how long they have been farming. The author have explained the results, and comparing those results with the results of RECENT studies that are relevant or irrelevant on certain variables, but lacks on other variables The numbers and updates are very poor, and need to be improved. It should used the reference manager tool.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes. Remove the vertical grid on the tables.	
Optional/General comments	The author needs to revise some important things that have been commented on	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Jhon Hardy Purba
Department, University & Country	Universitas Panji Sakti, Indonesia