

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_102429
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessment of Soil Fertility Status in Paroo and Saraiyan Blocks of Muzaffarpur district of Bihar using GPS and GIS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	YES YES Too detailed can be summarised further OK YES Add following references AbdelRahman MA, Metwaly MM, Afifi AA, D'Antonio P, Scopa A. Assessment of soil fertility status under soil degradation rate using geomatics in West Nile Delta. Land. 2022 Aug 6;11(8):1256. Sachan HK, Krishna D. Assessment of soil fertility status using nutrient index approach in cassava farms of rewa province, Fiji. Indian Journal of Agricultural Research. 2022;56(5):594-8.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	YES	
Optional/General comments	Conclusion should be improved to reflect with the objectives of the study, Comments are in the Manuscript	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Crammer Kaizzi Kayuki
Department, University & Country	National Agricultural Research Laboratories, Uganda