

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Plant &amp; Soil Science</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJPSS_101576</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Production of Chilli Crop under Prayagraj Agro Climatic Conditions: Role of Bio Stimulants as plant growth regulators</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li><b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li><b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></li> <li><b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></li> <li><b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></li> <li><b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></li> </ol> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p><b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> Yes. It has interesting objective.</p> <p><b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> Yes, it is.</p> <p><b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b> Yes. It has the main results and conclusion of the experiment.</p> <p><b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b> Yes, they are.</p> <p><b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b> Yes, I do.</p> <p><b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b> Yes, they are. The manuscript has sufficient and recent references.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></li> </ol>	Yes, it is.	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	To mention the second specie of chilli: "The numerous races of chillies are broadly divided into the two species: Capsicum annum L. (Paul et al., 2013). " Considering that the manuscript is well-written, with appropriate methodology and a good discussion of the results, I am in favor of publication.	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Ismarley Lage Horta Morais</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Federal University of Uberlândia, Brazil</b>