

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_102649
Title of the Manuscript:	Analysis of Heterotic potential for Yield and its contributing traits in Wheat (Triticum aestivum L.)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>The study of heterosis in crops is important for scientific community.</p> <p>ABSTRACT: it was concisely written but the introductory statement and justification of the study has to be included briefly at the opening statement before the objective of the study and then materials and methods.</p> <p>INTRODUCTION: the author has written extensively on the botany and origin of the crop, there is a need to look at literature for what has been done in relation to the study. This information will identify knowledge gaps and justify the study. This section should end with the paragraph stating the objective(s) of the study.</p> <p>MATERIALS AND METHOD: HOW WAS SIGNIFICANT HETEROSIS DETERMINED?</p> <p>The structure looks more like writing a thesis. The manuscript need to be adjusted to the style of writing an article.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	There is room for improvement	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Kolawole, Adesike Oladoyin
Department, University & Country	Ladoke Akintola University of Technology, Nigeria