

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>Cardiology and Angiology: An International Journal</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_CA_102014</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>AA amyloidosis secondary to Horton's disease complicated by pulmonary fibrosis. A Very Challenging Diagnosis and therapy.</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Case study</b>

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalca.com/index.php/CA/editorial-policy> )

### **PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>1- Yes, I think this case study is important to medical students and professionals as it describes the clinical presentation, diagnosis and management of a rare medical case.</p> <p>2- Yes, the title of this manuscript looks suitable.</p> <p>3- Yes, the abstract looks comprehensive and concise.</p> <p>4- Yes, I think this case study is structured in the appropriate way.</p> <p>5- Yes, I think this case study paper is scientifically correct.</p> <p>6- Yes, the used references are both recent and sufficient. However, the used references are not in the right sequence as author put reference 19 immediately after reference 2 in the introduction.</p> <p>7- Authors are advised to mention what each abbreviation stands for? Like TNF and NT pro BNP.</p> <p>8- Authors are advised to mention the exact dose of each drug used in the management of this case.</p> <p>9- In the case report section, authors should write that Ramipril is an angiotensin converting enzyme (ACE) inhibitor.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>The writing quality is very good.</p> <p>Language need to be revised</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>Check and follow author guidelines</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Hasanain Abdulhameed Odhar</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Al-Zahrawi University College, Iraq</b>