

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Biochemistry, Genetics and Molecular Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJBGMB_101847
Title of the Manuscript:	The most common mutations in the CFTR gene in the population of Bosnia and Herzegovina
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajbgmb.com/index.php/AJBGMB/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes, very important.</p> <p>Ok, the title and abstract is ok.</p> <p>Ok</p> <p>ok Please consider insert the reference: doi: 10.1186/s13578-023-00975-y There are 7 classes of CFTR mutations instead of 5. Please improve the quality of the Figure 1 (consider review the legend) or simply eliminate the figure 1 and just cite in text the results. Consider cite in discussion the limitations of the paper – cite the limitation of the sample size. Please consider not use the strong adjectives as: it's impossible to test them all (mutations), it's difficult to predict what's impossible with the advent of artificial intelligence.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Please consider review the language writing by a native speaker.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Some adjustments would be great for the final paper.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Lenyca de Cassya Lopes Neri
Department, University & Country	Università di Pavia, Italy