

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_102347
Title of the Manuscript:	CORRELATES OF PROFILE AND EXTENT OF ADOPTION OF CLUSTER FRONTLINE DEMONSTRATIONS (CFLDS) BENEFICIARY AND NON-BENEFICIARY FARMERS IN GROUNDNUT CONDUCTED BY KRISHI VIGYAN KENDRAS IN CHITTOOR DISTRICT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajaees.com/index.php/AJAEES/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><u>Compulsory REVISION</u> comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> The results of the scientific article - CORRELATES OF PROFILE AND EXTENT OF ADOPTION OF CLUSTER FRONTLINE DEMONSTRATIONS (CFLDS) BENEFICIARY AND NON-BENEFICIARY FARMERS IN GROUNDNUT CONDUCTED BY KRISHI VIGYAN KENDRAS IN CHITTOOR DISTRICT OF ANDHRA PRADESH - will be particularly interesting for persons employed in the agricultural sector and relevant for researchers in the field. The title of the article is consistent with its content. It is preferable to shorten the title. In the abstract of the article, based on the research results, it is desirable to present a short conclusion. The structure of the scientific paper meets the existing standards. The research results are reliable. The literature used in the paper is relevant to the research topic but is not the latest. 	
<p><u>Minor REVISION</u> comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	There are grammatical errors in the paper.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Giuli Giguashvili
Department, University & Country	Gori State University, Georgia