

Review Form 1.7

| | |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name: | Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_AJAEES_101957 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Assessing smallholder households' perception towards effectiveness of agricultural Extension and Advisory Services (EAS) in ensuring food security during COVID-19 |
| Type of the Article | Original Article |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajaees.com/index.php/AJAEES/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|---|---|
| <p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p> | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1-The manuscript is about the perceptions of farmer of south East of India about agricultural Extension and Advisory Services. The result can not be extended to other countries and may be affected only the local area. 2- abbreviation is not allowed in the title. 3-Yes, it is. But, it is not non-structural. 4- There are some extra sub-titles in the manuscript. 5-I will write it in the following parts. 6-Yes. 7- The data collection tool and its validity and reliability is not described. 8-Where the Authors used Freidman test? 9-Introduced the categories of perception in method section. 10- Introduce the rank method in method section. 11-percents with one decimal point. 12- Introduced each color and numbers in the fig 1. 13-No need to Fig 2. 14-How the authors calculate EAS in table 2? Is it response variable? 15-The regression model is not a good one to predict the response variable due to the low amount of R². 16- What is <i>ceteris paribus</i>? 17- The paragraph before conclusion needs to be revised, it is not correct. 18- In conclusion, no comparison with other research- no suggestions- no limitation etc. | |
| <p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | <p>It is good.</p> | |
| <p>Optional/General comments</p> | | |

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|---|--|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | |

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Name: | Masoud Roudbari |
| Department, University & Country | Iran University of Medical Sciences, Iran |