

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Experimental Agriculture International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_101465
Title of the Manuscript:	A study on Post-harvest Losses - "Royal Delicious" Variety of Apple in Different Marketing Channels, Seraj Valley, District-Mandi of Himachal Pradesh
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljeai.com/index.php/JEAI/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></li> <li>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></li> <li>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></li> <li>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></li> </ol> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>The work provides relevant information to the community.</p> <p>The title is appropriate for the reported work.</p> <p>The abstract is adequate and understandable. The structure of the article is correct and appropriate, however it did not comply with the author guidelines <a href="https://journaljeai.com/index.php/JEAI/about/submissions#authorGuidelines">https://journaljeai.com/index.php/JEAI/about/submissions#authorGuidelines</a> , because it is very short.</p> <p>There is no discussion of results.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></li> </ol>	The written language is appropriate.	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	It is suggested to complement the writing as well as address the observations in the writing.	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Dolores Castañeda Antonio</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Research Center in Microbiological Sciences, Meritorious Autonomous University of Puebla, Mexico</b>