

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_102012
Title of the Manuscript:	Characterization of Rice Growing Soils of Lower Brahmaputra Valley Zone (LBVZ) of Assam, India
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	The article submitted for review is of scientific and practical interest, as it corresponds to the modern world trend, to increase the production of world food resources. Rice in India is the main food raw material and its production solves the existing problem of nutritional deficiency of the population. The studies carried out by the authors allow farmers to properly exploit the soil without violating and not worsening its fertility. The title of the article suitable The abstract of the article comprehensive Subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate I think that the manuscript is scientifically correct The references sufficient and recent	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications	
Optional/General comments	When preparing a manuscript for publication, in my opinion, 13 should be removed in the "References" section and replaced with new ones, since now 13 out of 31 publications were published before 2000	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Yakov G. Verkhivker
Department, University & Country	Odessa Technological University, Ukraine