

Optimizing household waste and cow dung proportions for quality vermicompost production

ABSTRACT

Aim: To standardize the ratio of household waste and cow dung for quality vermicompost based on physical properties.

Study design: Completely Randomized Design (Factorial)

Place and Duration of Study: Vermicompost Production Unit, RPCAU, Pusa during April 2018 to June 2018.

Methodology: Household waste was combined with cow dung in three different proportions (35:65, 50:50 and 65:35 ratio of household waste and cow dung, respectively) along with rock phosphate and suitable species of earthworms @ 2 kg per ton of material at suitable temperature and moisture (37°C and 65% w/w respectively) under shade. Various physical parameters were analyzed at 30, 60 and 90 days after setting the windrows.

Results: Vermicompost prepared from household waste and cow dung in (50:50) ratio recorded lowest moisture content 16.0% and highest water holding capacity (20.7%) at the end of vermicomposting process (90 days) and 94% of material passed through 4.0 mm IS sieve.

Conclusion: Vermicompost prepared from household waste and cow dung in equal proportion (50:50) emerged out as better quality vermicompost based on the observed physical characteristics.

Keywords: *Eisenia fetida*, Household waste, Cow dung, PSB and Rock phosphate

1. INTRODUCTION

Effective household waste management is an essential aspect of promoting environmental sustainability and maintaining the health and well-being of our communities. As our daily lives generate a significant amount of waste, it is crucial to adopt responsible practices that minimize the negative impacts of waste on the environment, human health, and resources. India generates approximately 133760 tonnes of municipal solid waste per day and per capita waste generation ranges from approximately 0.17 kg per person per day in small towns to 0.62 kg per person per day in cities [1]. In Bihar solid waste generation was recorded 1670 tonnes per day [2]. For hygienic disposal of organic wastes, it should be managed effectively. Household waste management encompasses a range of activities, from waste reduction and segregation to proper disposal and recycling. Vermicomposting provides an effective solution for reducing the volume of household waste. Vermicomposting is considered as an eco-biotechnological and non-thermophilic process of composting that stabilize the organic wastes, involving the action of earthworms and associated microorganisms [3]. Earthworms are considered to be natural bioreactors which are able to proliferate along with other microorganisms and effectively lead to the biodegradation of

33 wastes. Household waste contains valuable organic matter that, when composted
34 through vermicomposting, contributes to increasing organic matter content in the soil[4].
35 As organic waste goes through the vermicomposting process, it is broken down by
36 earthworms and beneficial microorganisms. This decomposition process reduces the
37 overall bulk and volume of the organic waste, making it more manageable and easier to
38 handle[5]. By harnessing the power of earthworms and microorganisms,
39 vermicomposting efficiently converts organic waste into nutrient-rich vermicompost[6, 7,
40 8]. This process not only diverts waste from landfills, reducing environmental impact, but
41 also produces a valuable resource that enhances soil fertility and promotes healthy plant
42 growth.

43

44 Keep this in view a study was conducted to evaluate the best household waste and cow
45 dung proportion for quality vermicompost production, where household waste and cow
46 dung was mixed in three different proportions and their quality was evaluated.

47

48 2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

49

50 2.1 Experimental site and design

51 The present investigation was conducted during *Kharif* 2018 at Vermicompost
52 Production Unit and Department of Soil Science, Dr. Rajendra Prasad Central
53 Agricultural University, Pusa, Samastipur, Bihar, in a Completely Randomized Design
54 (Factorial) replicated thrice with days after initiation (DAI) as Factor I and various
55 combination of household waste and cow dung as Factor II.

56

57 2.2 Vermicompost Production

58 Household waste used as substrate for vermicomposting in present investigation was
59 taken from the collection mechanism of the university which collected waste from 750
60 quarters, hostels present in the university campus of RPCAU, Pusa. Whereas cow dung,
61 rock phosphate and PSB was taken from the livestock farm at Vermicompost Production
62 Unit, RPCAU, Pusa and Department of Soil Science, Tirhut College of Agriculture, Dholi,
63 respectively.

64

65 The household waste was combined with cow dung in different combinations i.e., 35:65,
66 50:50 and 65:35 ratio of household waste and cow dung, respectively at 37^o C
67 temperature and 65% w/w moisture in three windrows and each of size 10 ft x 2 ft x 1.5 ft
68 under shade. Earthworm species used was *Eisenia fetida* earthworms @ 2 kg per ton of
69 material. In all cases the substrate was enriched with addition of rock phosphate (on
70 P₂O₅ basis @ 5% w/w) and PSB (@500 g PSB carrier based bio-fertilizer per ton of
71 material).

72

Windrows	Household waste (w/w)	Cow dung (w/w)
1	210 kg (35%)	390 kg (65%)
2	300 kg (50%)	300 kg (50%)
3	390 kg (65%)	210 kg (35%)

73

74 The household waste was properly shredded into smaller pieces and mixed with cow
75 dung in proposed ratio. Then it was partially decomposed prior to inoculation and release
76 of earthworms so that generated heat and toxicants during the beginning of
77 decomposition process may be neutralized to provide congenial conditions for survival
78 and development of earthworms. After 15 days of mixing, PSB was inoculated, and rock

79 phosphate was added to each windrow. After partial decomposition, *Eisenia*
80 *fetida* species of earthworm @ 2 kg per ton of substrate was inoculated to each windrow
81 and throughout the vermicomposting process, sufficient moisture was maintained.

82 The final vermicompost prepared from the household waste changed into dark brown
83 colour as well as became friable and granular in texture as compared to the initial
84 substrate. The vermicompost was collected with the help of wooden slab. At first upper
85 layer of vermicompost heap was scraped lightly and left undisturbed for 30 minutes. Till
86 that time earthworms will go downwards. Then cast was collected by using wooden slab
87 and process is repeated weekly until cast is collected fully.

88

89 **2.3 Materials Required**

90 Equipment and apparatus- hot air oven and keen box

91 Glasswares- beaker, measuring cylinder, petri dish, 4 mm IS sieve

92

93 **2.4 Methodology for quality assessment of vermicompost**

94 The vermicompost samples were taken out from the three windrows at different time
95 interval i.e., at 30, 60 and 90 days after setting the windrows for analyzing various
96 physical parameters in order to determine the better quality vermicompost prepared from
97 the three windrows having different combination of household waste and cow dung.

98

99 **2.5 Physical parameters**

100 **2.5.1 Moisture content (%)**

101 The moisture content of the vermicompost was recorded at various time intervals (at 30,
102 60 and 90 days after setting the windrows) from the three windrows separately as
103 moisture percent by weight as described by FCO [9].

104

105 **2.5.2 Maximum water holding capacity (%)**

106 The maximum water holding capacity of the vermicompost was recorded at various time
107 intervals (at 30, 60 and 90 days after setting the windrows) from the three windrows. It
108 was determined by Keen Raozkowski box method described by Piper [10].

109

110 **2.5.3 Odour**

111 Odour of the vermicompost was observed by smelling test as described by FCO [9].

112

113 **2.5.4 Bulk density**

114 Bulk density determination of the vermicompost from three windrows was done at
115 different time intervals by tapping method as described by FCO [9].

116

117 **2.5.5 Particle size**

118 Particle size of the vermicompost was determined by sieving method (using 4 mm IS
119 sieve) as described by FCO [9].

120

121 **3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

122

123 **3.1 Chemical properties of substrate used for vermicomposting**

124 The pH and EC were low in household waste as compared to cow dung (Table 1). The
125 total organic carbon (48.31%) and total nitrogen (2.35%) in household waste was higher
126 than in cow dung. C:N ratio was observed to be low in household waste (20.55) than
127 cow dung (38.26). Total phosphorus content was high in cow dung (0.26%) and total

128 potassium content was higher in household waste (2.40%). Total Fe and Zn were higher
 129 in household waste whereas total Cu and Mn were observed to be higher in cow dung.

130

131

Table 1: Chemical properties of substrates used for vermicomposting

Properties	Household waste	Cow dung
pH	7.27	8.80
EC (dSm ⁻¹)	0.78	1.443
Total organic carbon (%)	48.31	21.43
Total nitrogen (%)	2.35	0.56
C:N ratio	20.55	38.26
Total phosphorus (%)	0.23	0.26
Total potassium (%)	2.40	0.74
Total Fe (mg kg ⁻¹)	1165.00	1058.00
Total Cu (mg kg ⁻¹)	15.90	23.50
Total Mn (mg kg ⁻¹)	98.00	343.10
Total Zn (mg kg ⁻¹)	139.40	94.90

132

133

3.2 Quality assessment of vermicompost

134

135

136

137

138

The vermicompost was taken out from the three windrows at different time interval i.e., at 30, 60 and 90 days after setting the windrows for analyzing various physicochemical parameters in order to determine best quality vermicompost prepared among the three windrows having different combination of household waste and cow dung.

139

3.3 Changes in physical properties during vermicomposting

140

141

142

143

144

The changes in physical properties of vermicompost prepared with household waste and cow dung in three different combinations were periodically studied at an interval of thirty days during vermicomposting process and the data have been presented under various sub heads.

145

3.4 Moisture content (%)

146

147

148

149

150

151

152

153

154

The moisture content of the vermicompost prepared in three different windrows was recorded at an interval of 30 days ranged from 18.8 to 19.8%, 12.2 to 21.0% and 16.0 to 22.8% at 30 days, 60 days, and 90 days, respectively during the vermicomposting process (Table 2). The vermicompost prepared from household waste and cow dung in 35:65 ratio recorded lowest moisture content (18.76%) during initial 30 days of vermicomposting, while the vermicompost from the household waste and cow dung in equal proportion (50:50) recorded lowest moisture content 12.2% and 16.0% at 60 days and 90 days respectively which was also within the range described by FCO (1985).

155

3.5 Maximum water holding capacity (%)

156

157

158

159

160

161

162

163

164

Maximum water holding capacity was recorded to be 26.3 to 33.3%, 23.0 to 24.7% and 19.4 to 20.7% at 30 days, 60 days, and 90 days, respectively (Table 2). At 30 days of vermicomposting process, household waste and cow dung in 35:65 ratio recorded highest water holding capacity (33.3%), while 65:35 ratio of household waste and cow dung recorded lowest water holding capacity (26.3%). At the end of vermicomposting process i.e., at 90 days, household waste and cow dung in equal proportion (50:50) recorded highest water holding capacity (20.7%) followed by 35:65 ratio (20.3%) and 65:35 ratio of household waste and cow dung (19.4%).

165

3.6 Bulk density (Mg m⁻³)

166 Results obtained on bulk density have been presented in Table 2. A scrutiny of data
 167 reveals that the bulk density of vermicompost prepared from all the three substrate
 168 combinations recorded a decreasing trend during vermicomposting process. The bulk
 169 density varied from 1.1 (50:50 ratio) to 1.7Mg m⁻³ (65:35 ratio) at 30 days, whereas at 60
 170 days it varied from 1.1 (50:50 ratio) to 1.5Mg m⁻³ (65:35 ratio) and at end of
 171 vermicomposting process i.e., at 90 days it varied from 0.8 (50:50 ratio) to 1.3 Mg m⁻³
 172 (65:35 ratio). Household waste and cow dung in equal proportion (50:50) recorded
 173 lowest bulk density from the start of vermicomposting process (1.1 Mg m⁻³) to the
 174 preparation of final vermicompost (0.8 Mg m⁻³).
 175

176 **Table 2: Changes in moisture content, maximum water holding capacity and bulk**
 177 **density during vermicomposting**

Windrows	Moisture content (%)			Maximum water holding capacity (%)			Bulk density (Mg m ⁻³)		
	30 days	60 days	90 days	30 days	60 days	90 days	30 days	60 days	90 days
HW 35% + CD 65%	18.8	18.2	22.8	33.3	24.7	20.3	1.3	1.2	0.9
HW 50% + CD 50%	19.8	12.2	16.0	27.0	23.0	20.7	1.1	1.1	0.8
HW 65% + CD 35%	19.5	21.0	21.2	26.3	23.3	19.4	1.7	1.5	1.3
		CD (5%)			CD (5%)			CD (5%)	
DAI		0.526			0.051			1.137	
HW + CD		0.526			0.051			1.137	
Interaction		0.912			0.088			1.969	

178

179 3.7 Odour

180 The final prepared vermicompost from three different combinations of household waste
 181 and cow dung was determined using qualitative smelling test and an earthy smell was
 182 observed which indicated the maturity of compost. Foul odour was absent in final
 183 product.
 184

185 3.8 Particle size

186 At the end of vermicomposting process, it was observed that the 94% of material passed
 187 through 4.0 mm IS sieve in case of vermicompost prepared from household waste and
 188 cow dung in in 50:50 ratio while in case of 35:65 ratio 96% of final product passed
 189 through 4.0 mm IS sieve. However, in case of vermicompost prepared from household
 190 waste and cow dung in 65: 35 ratios, only 70% of the material passed through 4.0 mm IS
 191 sieve.
 192

193 4. CONCLUSIONS

194
 195 Vermicompost prepared from household waste and cow dung in equal proportion (50:50)
 196 emerged out as best quality vermicompost on the basis of physical properties of the
 197 resulting product. Overall, vermicomposting brings about positive changes in the
 198 physical properties of organic waste, including volume reduction, improved texture,
 199 moisture regulation, odor reduction, and nutrient enrichment. These transformations

200 make the resulting vermicompost a valuable resource for enhancing soil fertility,
201 promoting plant growth, and supporting sustainable gardening practices.

202

203 **ACKNOWLEDGEMENT**

204

205 The authors sincerely acknowledge all the facilities provided by Department of Soil
206 Science, RPCAU, Pusa and help received in the form of fellowship.

207

208 **COMPETING INTERESTS**

209

210 Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

211

212 **AUTHORS' CONTRIBUTIONS**

213

214 This investigation was a part of M.Sc. thesis research work of Mrs. Alpana Kusum who performed
215 the analysis. It was carried out by her successfully with the support of fellow researchers Mrs.
216 Nitukumari, Biplab Choudhari, Deepika Sahu and Nainsi Naya who provided valuable suggestions
217 and proper guidance during writing of this manuscript as well as contributed to analysis tools.

218

219 **REFERENCES**

220

- 221 1. Kumar, A., Dhyani, B.P., Rai, A., and Kumar, V. (2017). Residual effect of applied
222 vermicompost and NPK to rice on growth and yield of succeeding wheat and
223 chemical properties of soil. *International Journal of Current Microbiology and
224 Applied Sciences*, **6**(11), 1087-1098.
- 225 2. CPCB, Annual Report, 2015–2016, *Published by Central Pollution Control Board
226 (CPCB), Delhi, India.*
- 227 3. Edwards, C.A. and Burrows, I. (1988). The potential of earthworm composts as plant
228 growth media. *In: Earthworms in Environmental and Waste Management*. SPB
229 Academic Publ. B.v., The Netherlands, 21-32, 211-220.
- 230 4. Chiranjeeb, K. (2019). Effect of household-waste-based vermicompost on carbon
231 pool, functional-indicator microbes and plant growth. *M.Sc. (Agri.) thesis*. Dr.
232 Rajendra Prasad Central Agricultural University, Pusa (Bihar).
- 233 5. Kusum, A. (2019). Vermicompost from household waste and its effect on soil
234 properties and crop growth. *M.Sc. (Agri.) thesis*. Dr. Rajendra Prasad Central
235 Agricultural University, Pusa (Bihar).
- 236 6. Sari, S., Aksakal, E.L. and Angin, I. (2017). Influence of vermicompost application on
237 soil consistency limits and soil compactibility. *Turkish Journal of Agriculture and
238 Forestry*, **41**(5), 357-371.
- 239 7. Baghel, B., Sahu, R., and Pandey, D. (2018). Vermicomposting an economical
240 enterprise for nutrient and waste management for rural agriculture. *International
241 Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences*, **7**(2), 3754-3758.
- 242 8. Kusum, A., Jha, S. and Tedia, K. (2023). Changes in physical properties during
243 composting. *The Pharma Innovation Journal*, **12**(3): 1633-1636.
- 244 9. Fertilizer Control Order (1985). Biofertilizers and organic fertilizers in Fertilizer
245 (control) order, 1985. Published by National Centre of Organic Farming, Dept. of
246 Agriculture and Corporation, Ministry of Agriculture, Govt. of India.
- 247 10. Piper, C.S. (1966). Soil and plant analysis. *Hans publishers, Bombay*, 401.