

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_101631
Title of the Manuscript:	IDENTIFICATION OF SUPERIOR GENOTYPES FOR YIELD AND QUALITY IN MULTIPLIER ONION
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	Yes, it is important to evaluate the multiplier onion genotypes to identify the best yielding genotype. Yes No, introduction is too long Yes No, The author lacks in explaining the results and comparing it with the results of previous studies that are relevant or irrelevant The numbers and updates are very poor, and need to be improved. It should used the reference manager tool.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes. Remove the vertical grid on the tables.	
Optional/General comments	This research has the potential to be developed further	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Jhon Hardy Purba
Department, University & Country	Universitas Panji Sakti, Indonesia