

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Soil Research Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ASRJ_100943
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluating the Influence of Palm kernel Shell ash on Ire Clay Geopolymer Concrete Cured at Ambient Temperature
Type of the Article	

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalasrj.com/index.php/ASRJ/editorial-policy>)

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1) The manuscript seeks to present important information to the scientific community, but failed to obtain the necessary scientific relevance.</p> <p>2) Yes, the title of the article is suitable.</p> <p>3) Yes, the abstract of the article is comprehensive.</p> <p>4) The text presented in "2.2 Preliminary tests on materials" was repeated in "4.1 Sample preparation".</p> <p>Were the results presented in "5.1 Oxide composition" and "5.2 Physical properties of materials" shown in Tables 2 and 3 only based on the cited bibliographical references? I consider it important to show the characterization tests of the materials applied in the research.</p> <p>5) I suggest that the authors present the possible practical applications of the obtained Ire clay geopolymer concrete to enrich the manuscript.</p> <p>6) The references are sufficient and recent.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>1) The language/English quality is suitable for scholarly communications. Major revision is recommended.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Carlos Fernando de Araújo Calado</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University of Pernambuco, Brazil</b>