

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research and Reports in Ophthalmology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRROP_100655
Title of the Manuscript:	Characteristics and Systemic Risk Factors of Glaucoma in Adult Patients Attending a Private Eye Clinic in Aba, Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajrop.com/index.php/AJRROP/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1- This research only provides a descriptive information with no sufficient correlation or statistics to evaluate the aim of the study</p> <p>2- Regarding the abstract is well written however the aim and conclusion need to be corrected. The aim needs to be rewritten to (This study aim to evaluate the characteristics and systemic risk factors of glaucoma in adult patients attending a private eye clinic in Aba, Nigeria.)</p> <p>Also, the conclusion needs to be rewritten to conclude about the results of this study not just a general sentences. Many grammatical errors in writing are present in whole manuscript</p> <p>3- The title is clear and relevant</p> <p>4- Regarding the methods Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria need to be written clearly the author did not mention it. Also in statistics he mentioned (The association between age and the presence of glaucoma was examined using bivariate and multivariate logistic regression models.) But there was no any table the demonstrated these results so this need to be corrected. Also, all the types of statistics used need to be written below the tables. Also, the statistics of Table 6 need to be corrected because the author mentioned he used chi-square test and in the table, he mentioned that he used Fisher exact test</p> <p>5- The manuscript is scientifically correct.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Correct the statistical errors mentioned above and use Grammarly program to correct the grammars</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Samer Imad Mohammed
Department, University & Country	College of pharmacy, University of Baghdad, Iraq