

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJESS_99956</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>ENTREPRENEURSHIP ECOSYSTEM: AN APPRAISAL OF OMAN USING THE EASE OF DOING BUSINESS INDEX</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Short Research Article</b>

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajess.com/index.php/AJESS/editorial-policy>)

## Review Form 1.7

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in thereview form.</b></p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. This manuscript is very useful in the field of entrepreneurship and business today. May be the results of this study can be adapted and implemented by companies in all countries that are suitable to practice the prescribed methods.</p> <p>2. The title is suitable.</p> <p>3. The abstract must be supported with the values for each research result.</p> <p>4. The subsection and structure of the manuscript are okay. In addition, if you refer to the section LR - author must discuss in detail about the GAP Analysis? What is the gap between past research and this study? Write it clearly in SECTION No. 2 (page2).</p> <p>5. Yes. In section no. 6 (DISCUSSION) - Author must add some discussion what the evidences are to support this result? Please refer to the LR in section 2.</p> <p>6. The references and citations must add the recent and latest (from 5 years – 2023 until 2018). All citations in the text must be written in the reference section. Every reference should refer to the guide from this journal.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>1. Please refer to the English Language experts for proofreading. Attach the evidencethat related to the proofread of this manuscript.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>Overall, this paper is original with its methods and findings.</p> <p>As I pointed out the Minor Revision to be made on this manuscript and believe it willimprove the quality of this article.</p> <p>Please do the amendments and refer to my comments in manuscript.</p>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Hasan Al-Banna Bin Mohamed
Department, University & Country	National Defence University of Malaysia, Malaysia