

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	South Asian Journal of Social Studies and Economics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJSSSE_102396
Title of the Manuscript:	PROFICIENCY LEVEL AND CHALLENGES IN ECONOMICS OF GRADE 10 STUDENTS IN SELECTED PUBLIC SCHOOLS IN CENTRAL PHILIPPINES
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalsajsse.com/index.php/SAJSSE/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>1-Yes</p> <p>2-Yes</p> <p>3-Yes</p> <p>4- The research is very interesting, addressing some difficulties detected post-pandemic, which reveal the need for teachers to implement innovative strategies that motivate students to actively participate in classes, which can promote understanding of the topics covered. In addition, in the face of what was experienced in times of pandemic where the availability of connectivity favoured the teaching and learning of the different disciplines, it makes it necessary for it to be a priority to strengthen .The authors recognize weaknesses in the work such as the number of educational institutions analyzed, which would be interesting to expand, together with the consideration of a greater number of variables.</p> <p>5- Yes</p> <p>6-Yes</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>		
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Mg Vivian Minnaard</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Universidad de la Fraternidad de Santo Tomas de Aquino, Argentina</b>