

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Materials Science Research and Reviews</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JMSRR_101586</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>PHYSICAL AND MECHANICAL PROPERTIES OF GEOPOLYMER SYNTHESIZED FROM ALTERNATE SOURCE LATERITE</b>
Type of the Article	

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://journaljmsrr.com/index.php/JMSRR/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>The manuscript content is important to the scientific research community.</p> <p>The title is suitable for the manuscript content.</p> <p>The abstract is sufficient for the manuscript.</p> <p>The subsections and structure of the manuscript is good.</p> <p>This manuscript scientifically acceptable correct.</p> <p>-in reference section: the words with wrong spacing have been highlighted. - In the section "References" all references must be written in the same way. Journals Name is sometimes abbreviated, Please check. But we want to encourage the authors to redo some points of the manuscript that need to be improved so that it can reach high quality standards.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	The language/English quality of the article is acceptable for scholarly communications.	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	I recommend to accept and publish this manuscript as soon as possible in you reputed journal.	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Mohammad Asadi</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Islamic Azad University, Iran</b>