

Review Form 1.7

| | |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name: | Journal of Education, Society and Behavioural Science |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_JESBS_101690 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Structural Model on Teaching Competences of Filipino Teachers in Secondary |
| Type of the Article | |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljesbs.com/index.php/JESBS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|--|---|
| <p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p> | <p>Yes, it is, though it is not very clear in the paper why do we need to come up with a structural model on the teaching competence specific for Filipino teachers in secondary.</p> <p>Yes, but it may not need to reflect the words structural model. It can be – Teaching Competence Model of Secondary Teachers in Filipino or if the statistical concepts would be used in the title it is best to phrase it this way- Teaching Competence Model of Secondary Teachers in Filipino via Structural Equation Modelling</p> <p>The abstract may be unified not sectional and no need to label. The discussion should be fluid and coherent beginning with the objectives, methodology, results and discussions, and recommendations.</p> <p>The manuscript is scientifically correct though there should be convergence of the results taken from qualitative data sources.</p> <p>The references are good but not in APA V7. Consider studies over the last 5 years.</p> | |
| <p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | <p>Yes, but recommended to undergo stylistics and editing.</p> | |
| <p>Optional/General comments</p> | <p>For the descriptive tables, mean first before sd. Quite a number of in-text citations without year. Pls revisit the entire manuscript. There were also typo errors in the headings of table 5 – methodological, socialization, etc. Pls. subject the paper to proofreading, stylistics and editing.</p> | |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|---|---|
| <p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p> | <p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p> | |

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|---|
| Name: | Dennis G. Caballes |
| Department, University & Country | Graduate Program School of Teacher Education, The National Teachers College, Philippines |