

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Energy Research and Reviews
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JENRR_101353
Title of the Manuscript:	Optimization of Ceramic insulation of Cooking Stoves using Carbonized Organic Waste
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljenrr.com/index.php/JENRR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>It is seems that this work is only can be applied on the condition and environment of Kynea. Therefore the importance of that work has a significant effect on Kenya assumptions, but other countries, the work may need further improvements.</p> <p>According to the informative given in the manuscript, my suggestion is slightly deviate to exclude the optimization from the title of the manuscript. So the title can be re-written such as (Investigation of Ceramic insulation of Cooking Stoves using Carbonized Organic Waste).</p> <p>The abstract is looks good and comprehensive.</p> <p>Generally, the overall of the structure and sections of the article is satisfied, although there are some lacks and also missing in information for the selecting materials that leads to improve the optimization.</p> <p>Scientifically, this article is correct under the assumption of applying it on Kynea environment only, other countries may need further information and doing many experiments to improve that.</p> <p>The reference is acceptable and satisfy the requirements of the article.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>The quality of language which is used to written this article is satisfied, but improving the language is necessary to put the article in good manner.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ziad Shakeeb Al Sarraf
Department, University & Country	University of Mosul, Iraq